

Indian Journal of Chemistry Vol. 61, November 2022, pp. 1205-1215 DOI: 10.56042/ijc.v61i11.68274



Molecular geometry, spectroscopic and NLO studies of 1-(chloromethyl)-4fluorobenzene – A DFT study

S Jeyavijayan^{a,*}, M Ramuthai^a & Palani Murugan^b

^aDepartment of Physics, Kalasalingam Academy of Research and Education, Krishnankoil-626 126, Tamil Nadu, India ^bDepartment of Physics, ArumughamPalaniguru Arts & Science College for Women, Rajapalayam-626 102, Tamil Nadu, India *E-mail: s.jeyavijayan@klu.ac.in

Received 6 July 2021; accepted (revised) 17 October 2022

The vibrational spectra of 1-(chloromethyl)-4-fluorobenzene have been studied in the 4000 - 400 cm⁻¹ and 3500 - 50 cm⁻¹ range, by FTIR and FT-Raman, respectively. In this work, structural analysis and vibrational frequencies are performed utilizing the GAUSSIAN 09W program with DFT/B3LYP strategy with basis set 6-311++G (d, p). Least differences are noted between the measured and scaled wavenumbers. The molecular vibrational assignments are confirmed by the PED (potential energy distribution) percentage. Frontier molecular orbital, natural bond orbital and Mullikan charge examinations are employed to explain the reason for intra and intermolecular charge exchange of the molecule. Reactive sites and chemical shifts are investigated by molecular electrostatic potential map and nuclear magnetic resonance analysis. Besides, the polarizability, the first hyperpolarizability, and total dipole moment of the molecule have been computed for describing its NLO activity.

Keywords: 1-(Chloromethyl)-4-fluorobenzene; HOMO, LUMO, Polarizability; MEP; NBO

A benzene derivative such as 1-(chloromethyl)-4fluorobenzene (CMFB) is an aromatic compound, colourless, explosive liquid and broadly used in making pesticides. Particularly, the chloro and fluorobenzene derivatives are critical industrial material and utilized as intermediates in the generation of dyestuffs, and paint solvents¹. Further, several heterocyclic derivatives covering chlorine and fluorine atoms serve as a special and flexible framework for experimental drug plans². Many spectroscopic works of halogen relating compounds has been detailed in the previous report³⁻⁵. Vijisha et al⁶ reported that the halogen contained benzene derivative possesses nonlinear optical (NLO) properties.

For polyatomic atoms, the DFT strategies lead to the expectation of more exact molecular structure and frequencies. In DFT approaches, Becke's threeparameter hybrid function combined with the Lee-Yang–Parr relationship (B3LYP) expects best calculation for structural analysis⁷. Due to greater industrial significance of CMFB, an attempt has been opted to perform its geometry, spectroscopic and NLO studies along with density computational theory (DFT) computation using 6-311++G (d, p) sets^{8,9}. The present work has been also focused to recognize the frontier molecular orbitals, Mullikan charges, NLO property and natural bond orbitals (NBO) of CMFB.

Experimental Details

The CMFB (99% purity) has been purchased from Sigma Aldrich, USA. Perkin Elmer Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectrometer of CMFB was recorded by employing a KBr pellet method at room temperature with a 1.0 cm⁻¹ resolution. Stand-alone FT-Raman spectrum of CMFB was taken by using BRUKER RFS 27 model spectrometer at room temperature with a resolution of 2 cm⁻¹. The FT-IR and FT-Raman spectra have been established in the wavenumber range 4000-400 cm⁻¹ and 4000-50 cm⁻¹, respectively.

Computational Details

The GAUSSIAN 09W program¹⁰ exploiting Becke-3-Lee-Yang-Parr (B3LYP) hybrid functional^{11,12} with the standard 6-311++G (d,p) basis set have been utilized for DFT calculations. Initially, the structure of CMFB is optimized by the DFT/B3LYP strategy with a 6-311++G (d,p), then the vibrational wavenumbers and intensities are calculated. The scaled quantum mechanical (SQM)¹³ strategy ensures between the experimental data and DFT computed results. Subsequently, the computed wavenumbers were scaled by employing a scaling value of 0.9613 for the B3LYP strategy¹⁴. The frontier molecular orbitals (FMOs) of CMFB have been visualized using Gaussview 05 visualization program¹⁵. The UV-visible range of CMFB have been computed (without any solvation) by time-dependent (TD)-DFT/B3LYP method. The ¹³C and ¹H NMR shielding was recreated using the Gauge-Invariant-atomic orbital (GIAO) method. The vibrational wavenumbers of each functional group of CMFB have been confirmed from potential energy dispersion (PED) using MOLVIB program¹⁶.

Results and Discussion

Molecular geometry

The optimized structure of CMFB having C_1 point group is shown in Fig. 1. The CMFB contains fluorine, chlorine atoms and methyl group in the benzene ring. By using the B3LYP strategy with 6-311++G (d, p) premise set, the computed optimized parameters of CMFB along with XRD data are recorded in Table 1. From the computational results, most of the bond distances are slightly bigger than the



Fig. 1 — Optimized molecular structure of 1-(chloromethyl)-4-fluorobenzene

Table 1 — Optimized geometrical parameters of 1-(chloromethyl)-4-fluorobenzene					
Parameters	Method/Basis set	Experimental ²⁶			
	B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p)				
	Bond length				
C1-C2	1.399	1.391			
C1-C6	1.399	1.382			
C1-C7	1.495	1.491			
C2-C3	1.391	1.377			
C2-H11	1.084	0.930			
C3-C4	1.386	1.372			
C3-H12	1.082	0.930			
C4-C5	1.386	1.380			
C4-F13	1.354	1.359			
C5-C6	1.391	1.383			
C5-H14	1.082	0.930			
C6-H15	1.084	0.930			
С7-Н8	1.087	0.970			
С7-Н9	1.087	0.970			
C7-C110	1.841	1.795			
	Bond angles				
C2-C1-C6	118.84	119.43			
C2-C1-C7	120.57	120.64			
C1-C2-C3	120.99	120.69			
C1-C2-H11	119.68	120.00			
C3-C2-H11	119.32	120.00			
C2-C3-C4	118.39	118.48			
C2-C3-H12	121.76	121.00			
C4-C3-H12	119.84	122.51			
C3-C4-C5	122.37	122.51			
C3-C4-F13	118.81	118.26			
C5-C4-F13	118.81	118.09			
C4-C5-C6	118.39	118.22			
C4-C5-H14	119.84	121.00			
C6-C5-H14	121.76	121.00			
C1-C6-C5	120.99	120.65			
C1-C6-H15	119.68	120.00			
C5-C6-H15	119.32	120.00			
С1-С7-Н8	112.19	110.00			
С1-С7-Н9	112.19	110.00			
C1-C7-Cl10	112.28	109.58			
H8-C7-H9	109.64	108.00			
H8-C7-Cl10	105.02	110.00			
H9-C7-Cl10	105.02	110.00			
	Dihedral angles				
C6-C1-C2-C3	-0.076	-1.0			
C7-C1-C2-C3	179.789	178.30			
C2-C1-C6-C5	0.076	1.2			
C7-C1-C6-C5	-179.789	-178.1			
C2-C1-C7-C110	90.084	83.2			
C6-C1-C7-C110	-90.052	-95.5			
C1-C2-C3-C4	0.071	-0.2			
C2-C3-C4-C5	-0.068	1.3			
C2-C3-C4-F13	-179.863	-179.58			
C3-C4-C5-C6	0.068	-1.1			
C4-C5-C6-C1	-0.072	-0.2			

experimental values, due to that the DFT calculations carried out in gaseous stage whereas the observed results carried out in different state¹⁷. The global least energy obtained for CMFB is calculated as -830.5292674 Hartrees. The C-H bond length changes from 1.082 to 1.087 Å. The C-C bond distances extend from 1.386 to 1.495 Å by the B3LYP/6- $311G^{++}$ (d, p) strategy, which are good assertion with those experimental XRD (1.372-1.491Å) report¹⁸. The benzene ring shows a slightly out of the hexagonal region. It is due to the fluorine, chlorine and methyl groups of CMFB. From the DFT calculations, the C2-C1-C7, C4-C5-C6 and C1-C2-H11 bond angles are computed as 120.57°, 118.39°, 119.68°, individually, (Experimental values: 120.64°, 118.22°, 120.0°). All the tetrahedral angles of the CMFB ring are nearly 0° or 180° which represents its planar nature. The varietv in bond angles depends on the electronegativity of the fluorine atom, the present of lone pair electrons, and the coupling of the double bonds. In benzenes, the ring carbon atoms shows a huge involvement on the outermost electron of the H atoms which results an increment in the C-H force constant and a diminish its bond distance. The C-H would be affected by the impacts of the inductivemesomeric interactions¹⁹. The C-X (X; F, Cl, Br, I) bond length demonstrates a significant increment when substituted in a place of C-H. In calculation, the effect of conjugation between the chloro and benzene ring can be understood from the rise in bond length of C7-Cl10 (1.841 by B3LYP and 1.795 Å by experimental)²⁰. Bond arrangement could be a valuable tool for depicting bond type and analyzing bond quality.

The thermodynamic parameters of CMFB are accounted in Table 2. As the interaction between the atoms within the molecule is very stronger, then the dipole moment will be most extreme. Here, the calculated dipole moment and total energy of CMFB are evaluated as 1.8940 D and 74.554 kcal mol⁻¹. The irrelevant vibrational energy (zero-point) is obtained kcal mol^{-1}) for CMFB. (69.69292 These thermodynamic parameters can be utilized in the assessment of chemical responses and to discover the extra thermodynamic energies of CMFB.

Vibrational assignments

The computed and test FTIR and FT-Raman spectra of CMFB have been represented in the Figs. 2 and 3. The IR and Raman peak intensities and the vibrational wavenumbers of CMFB are given in

Table 3. The CMFB molecule comprises of 15 atoms and its leads to 39 typical vibrational modes.

C-H Vibrations—The C-H vibrations²¹ are found within the range $3100-3000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Subsequently, for CMFB, the infrared bands that showed up at 3112,

Table 2 — The thermodynamic parameters of 1-(chloromethyl)-4-fluorobenzene				
Parameters	Method/Basis set			
	B3LYP/6- 311++G(d.p)			
Optimized global minimum Energy (Hartrees)	-830.418205			
Total energy (thermal) E _{total} (kcal mol ⁻¹)	74.554			
Heat capacity $C_v(cal mol^{-1}k^{-1})$	28.789			
Entropy S (cal $mol^{-1}k^{-1}$)	89.566			
Total				
Translational	40.805			
Rotational	29.707			
Vibrational	19.054			
Vibrational energy E _{vib} (kcal mol ⁻¹)	72.777			
Zero point vibrational energy (kcal mol ⁻¹)	69.69292			
Rotational constants (GHz)				
А	3.96359			
В	0.65014			
С	0.60852			
Dipole moment (D)	1.8940			



Fig. 2 — Comparison of experimental and computed FTIR spectra of 1-(chloromethyl)-4-fluorobenzene



Fig. 3 — Comparison of observed and calculated FT-Raman spectra of 1-(chloromethyl)-4-fluorobenzene

3082, 3058, 3046, 2991, 2963 cm⁻¹ and Raman frequencies at 3122, 3088, 3063, 2983, 2961 cm⁻¹ have been assigned as stretching C-H vibrations. The scaled DFT values have been found at 3080, 3078, 3059, 3051, 3034, 2978 cm⁻¹ are well supported with the total energy distribution (99-94% TED). The computed and experimental C-H bending vibrations have also been assigned well and found to be in good support with the literature data²² as given in Table 3.

C-Cl Vibrations—The C-Cl²³ is generally built up in between the frequencies 850 and 550 cm⁻¹. Therefore, the computed wavenumber of CMFB at 408 cm⁻¹ and the corresponding Raman peak absorbed at 401 cm⁻¹ have been designated for stretching C-Cl vibrations (75% TED). In most of the chloro aromatic compounds, the band of various intensities in the 385–265 cm⁻¹ region have been designated to C-Cl bending in-plane vibration²⁴. Hence, the C-Cl in-plane deformation for CMFB has been set up at 293 cm⁻¹ as strong Raman peak. The out-of-plane C–Cl deformation of CMFB is also listed in Table 3.

C-F Vibrations—The observed $C-F^{25}$ bands are found to be very solid in the region 1000-1300 cm⁻¹. Moreover, the C-F vibrations in the mono fluorinated are noted in between 1100 and 1000 cm⁻¹. For CMFB, the C-F stretching vibration have been found as strong wavenumber at 1294 cm⁻¹ in Raman and 1299 cm⁻¹ in FTIR. These frequencies are in fine match with the computed values at 1272 cm⁻¹ (85% TED). The C-F in

plane bending deformation is established at 352 cm⁻¹ in Raman and C-F out-of-plane wavenumber is also coincided with the literature²⁶.

C-C Vibrations—The C-C vibrations are usually shown in between 1430 and 1650 cm⁻¹ for the hetero aromatic compounds²⁷. Appropriately, in the present examination, the C-C (84-92% TED) vibrations of CMFB are observed at 1599, 1517, 1488, 1412, 1394, 1321, 1242 cm⁻¹ in FTIR and at 1594, 1521, 1483, 1417, 1388, 1319, 1233 cm⁻¹ in FT-Raman. The corresponding computed values have been noted at 1581, 1570, 1480, 1432, 1391, 1292, 1243 cm⁻¹. The C-C in-plane vibration of CMFB is found at 851cm⁻¹ in IR and out-of plane at 181 cm⁻¹ in Raman. Further, the ring modes of vibrations for CMFB are also represented in Table 3.

Frontier molecular orbital (FMO) analysis

The electronic excitations in FMOs are extremely valuable for electric and optical studies. In molecular interface, donor and acceptor electron orbitals are represented as HOMO and LUMO. The stabilization and destabilization of the molecule can increase because of the HOMO and LUMO orbitals^{28,29.} The HOMO-LUMO of CMFB has been computed at the DFT levels and energy gap is found to be 4.402 eV, which reflects the chemical activity of CMFB by using Koopman's theorem³⁰ and are illustrated in Table 4. The most notable (E_{HOMO-2} = -9.782 eV) HOMO energy permits to be the excellent electron giver (chlorine and fluorine atoms) and the LUMO $(E_{LUMO+2} = -2.529 \text{ eV})$ implies the leading electron acceptor (C-C bond of ring). The corresponding energy gap is obtained as 7.253eV. The various frontier orbitals of CMFB are plotted in Fig. 4. The frequency of oscillation (f), excitation energies (E), electronic transition and UV-visible spectrum studies of CMFB are computed by TD-DFT method³¹. The energizing state of CMFB is computed at 239 nm with energy E=5.1754 eV and oscillator frequency of 0.0068. For that, the $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transition is calculated from $H \rightarrow L$ (65%). Another energize state has been computed at 216 nm with frequency f = 0.0920, E = 5.7360 eV from H \rightarrow L+1 ($\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ type) leading to contributions of 76%. For CMFB, a solid peak has been observed at 205nm with oscillator quality f = 0.0002 and energy = 6.0422 eV as exposed in Fig. 5. For this strong peak, the transition of charges from HOMO to LUMO describes $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$. This has the most raised transition by 98% contribution from

	Table 3 — The vibrational frequencies (cm ⁻¹), IR intensity (km mol ⁻¹) and Raman Activity (Å ⁴ amu ⁻¹) for 1-(chloromethyl)-4-fluorobenzene								
F1-K F1-K <th< td=""><td>Observed v</td><td>vavenumber</td><td>Wavenur</td><td>nber</td><td>IR Intensity</td><td>Raman activity</td><td>Reduced mass</td><td>Force constant</td><td></td></th<>	Observed v	vavenumber	Wavenur	nber	IR Intensity	Raman activity	Reduced mass	Force constant	
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	FT-IR	FT- Raman	Calculated	Scaled					(%)
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	3112(vw)	3122(vw)	3204	3080	1.9328	233.3755	1.0943	6.6160	ν C-H (99)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3082(vw)	3088(s)	3202	3078	1.4809	53.6595	1.0938	6.6116	v C-H (98)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3058(vw)	3063(ms)	3174	3059	5.1405	53.8948	1.0897	6.4657	v C-H (96)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3046(w)	-	3173	3051	6.4908	68.0088	1.0899	6.4663	v C-H (95)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2991(w)	2983(m)	3157	3034	1.7931	42.9556	1.1115	6.5277	v C-H (96)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2963(vw)	2961(vw)	3098	2978	14.1367	105.8958	1.0574	5.9788	v C-H (94)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1599(ms)	1594(ms)	1645	1581	55.3737	66.3018	5.9731	9.5273	v C-C (92)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1517(vs)	1521(w)	1634	1570	6.6485	5.0335	7.0284	11.0612	v C-C (90)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1488(ms)	1483(m)	1540	1480	86.5241	6.3198	2.6541	3.7103	v C-C (89)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1412(ms)	1417(w)	1490	1432	1.4629	6.3729	1.1190	1.4629	v C-C (88)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1394(ms)	1388(s)	1447		1.4396				v C-C (87)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1321(w)	1319(s)	1345		0.0182	1.6205	3.7012	3.9434	v C-C (86)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1299(vw)	1294(s)	1324	1272	3.1015	1.7821	1.5232	1.5725	v C-F (85)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1242(vw)	1233(s)	1294	1243	42.4185	38.2854	1.2053	1.1894	v C-C (84)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1202(vw)	1200(ms)		1197	155.2062	42.1043		5.1998	b C-H (82)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1194(w)	1183(ms)		1185	0.0568	44.6051			b C-H (84)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1131(vw)	1139(vw)	1179	1133	28.6047	7.4428	1.2234	1.0015	b C-H (80)
998(ms)991(vw)1032992 3.1191 0.1750 2.5652 1.6090 b C-H (72)951(vw)-973935 0.0287 0.0614 1.3529 0.7539 Rtrigd (70)-912(vw)955918 0.1852 1.1288 1.3479 0.7246 Rasymd (69)884(vw)879(vs)916880 0.0385 0.9418 1.5532 0.7685 Rsymd (68)851(ms)-862828 38.4947 17.0370 2.6854 1.1769 b C-C (73)819(vw)822(ms)853819 34.4276 9.5201 2.6996 1.1564 ω C-H (65)788(vs)792(s)827794 0.0025 0.0766 1.2456 0.5013 ω C-H (66)731(vs)-763762 1.9804 4.6392 4.5983 1.5752 ω C-H (64)719(vs)714(s)739710 18.2610 26.9383 4.1424 1.3325 ω C-H (63)691(vw)-657631 85.0083 48.3679 4.8298 1.2298 ω C-H (64)514(vs)517(s)533512 21.0532 6.8941 3.1073 0.5196 fttrigd (61)488(vs) $483(w)$ 476457 20.6671 0.5444 5.7366 0.7671 tRasymd (63)-401(vw)425408 0.8241 0.0177 3.2567 0.3469 v C-Cl (75)407(vw)399(s)422405 2.0432 <	1124(vs)	1116(vw)							b C-H (79)
$951(vw)$ - 973 935 0.0287 0.0614 1.3529 0.7539 Rtrigd (70)- $912(vw)$ 955 918 0.1852 1.1288 1.3479 0.7246 Rasymd (69) $884(vw)$ $879(vs)$ 916 880 0.0385 0.9418 1.5532 0.7685 Rsymd (68) $851(ms)$ - 862 828 38.4947 17.0370 2.6854 1.1769 b C-C (73) $819(vw)$ $822(ms)$ 853 819 34.4276 9.5201 2.6996 1.1564 ω C-H (65) $788(vs)$ $792(s)$ 827 794 0.0025 0.0766 1.2456 0.5013 ω C-H (66) $731(vs)$ - 763 762 1.9804 4.6392 4.5983 1.5752 ω C-H (64) $719(vs)$ $714(s)$ 739 710 18.2610 26.9383 4.1424 1.3325 ω C-H (62) $643(vw)$ $641(s)$ 650 624 0.0110 5.7487 6.8156 1.6942 ω C-H (64) $514(vs)$ $517(s)$ 533 512 21.0532 6.8941 3.1073 0.5196 Rtrigd (61) $488(vs)$ $483(w)$ 476 457 20.6671 0.5444 5.7366 0.7671 tRasymd (63) $ 401(vw)$ 422 405 2.0432 0.0207 3.8739 0.4069 tRsymd (62) $ 401(vw)$ 422 405 2.0432 0.0207 3.8739 0.4069 t	1093(s)	1084(vw)	1118		8.4031	1.5832		0.9459	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$. ,	991(vw)							
$884(vw)$ $879(vs)$ 916 880 0.0385 0.9418 1.5532 0.7685 $Rsymd (68)$ $851(ms)$ - 862 828 38.4947 17.0370 2.6854 1.1769 b C-C (73) $819(vw)$ $822(ms)$ 853 819 34.4276 9.5201 2.6996 1.1564 ω C-H (65) $788(vs)$ $792(s)$ 827 794 0.0025 0.0766 1.2456 0.5013 ω C-H (66) $731(vs)$ - 763 762 1.9804 4.6392 4.5983 1.5752 ω C-H (64) $719(vs)$ $714(s)$ 739 710 18.2610 26.9383 4.1424 1.3325 ω C-H (62) $643(vw)$ $641(s)$ 650 624 0.0110 5.7487 6.8156 1.6942 ω C-H (64) $514(vs)$ $517(s)$ 533 512 21.0532 6.8941 3.1073 0.5196 Rtrigd (61) $488(vs)$ $483(w)$ 476 457 20.6671 0.5444 5.7366 0.7671 tRasymd (63) $ 401(vw)$ 425 408 0.8241 0.0177 3.2567 0.3469 v C-Cl (75) $407(vw)$ $399(s)$ 422 405 2.0432 0.0207 3.8739 0.4069 tRsymd (62) $ 352(ms)$ 363 348 0.7100 6.3271 7.5396 0.5861 b C-F (71) $ 293(s)$ 299 287 0.0004 0.0286 2.7462 0.14	951(vw)								Rtrigd (70)
$851(ms)$ - 862 828 38.4947 17.0370 2.6854 1.1769 b C-C (73) $819(vw)$ $822(ms)$ 853 819 34.4276 9.5201 2.6996 1.1564 ω C-H (65) $788(vs)$ $792(s)$ 827 794 0.0025 0.0766 1.2456 0.5013 ω C-H (66) $731(vs)$ - 763 762 1.9804 4.6392 4.5983 1.5752 ω C-H (64) $719(vs)$ $714(s)$ 739 710 18.2610 26.9383 4.1424 1.3325 ω C-H (63) $691(vw)$ - 657 631 85.0083 48.3679 4.8298 1.2298 ω C-H (62) $643(vw)$ $641(s)$ 650 624 0.0110 5.7487 6.8156 1.6942 ω C-H (64) $514(vs)$ $517(s)$ 533 512 21.0532 6.8941 3.1073 0.5196 tRtrigd (61) $488(vs)$ $483(w)$ 476 457 20.6671 0.5444 5.7366 0.7671 tRasymd (63)- $401(vw)$ 425 408 0.8241 0.0177 3.2567 0.3469 v C-Cl (75) $407(vw)$ $399(s)$ 422 405 2.0432 0.0207 3.8739 0.4069 tRsymd (62)- $352(ms)$ 363 348 0.7100 6.3271 7.5396 0.5861 b C-F (71)- $293(s)$ 299 287 0.0004 0.0286 2.7462 0.1442 b									•
$819(vw)$ $822(ms)$ 853 819 34.4276 9.5201 2.6996 1.1564 ω C-H (65) $788(vs)$ $792(s)$ 827 794 0.0025 0.0766 1.2456 0.5013 ω C-H (66) $731(vs)$ - 763 762 1.9804 4.6392 4.5983 1.5752 ω C-H (64) $719(vs)$ $714(s)$ 739 710 18.2610 26.9383 4.1424 1.3325 ω C-H (63) $691(vw)$ - 657 631 85.0083 48.3679 4.8298 1.2298 ω C-H (62) $643(vw)$ $641(s)$ 650 624 0.0110 5.7487 6.8156 1.6942 ω C-H (64) $514(vs)$ $517(s)$ 533 512 21.0532 6.8941 3.1073 0.5196 tRtrigd (61) $488(vs)$ $483(w)$ 476 457 20.6671 0.5444 5.7366 0.7671 tRasymd (63)- $401(vw)$ 425 408 0.8241 0.0177 3.2567 0.3469 v C-Cl (75) $407(vw)$ $399(s)$ 422 405 2.0432 0.0207 3.8739 0.4069 tRsymd (62)- $352(ms)$ 363 348 0.7100 6.3271 7.5396 0.5861 b C-F (71)- $293(s)$ 299 287 0.0004 0.0286 2.7462 0.1442 b C-Cl (72)- $181(vs)$ 202 194 3.0108 4.2479 10.2906 0.2475 ω		879(vs)							
788(vs)792(s)827794 0.0025 0.0766 1.2456 0.5013 ω C-H (66)731(vs)-763762 1.9804 4.6392 4.5983 1.5752 ω C-H (64)719(vs)714(s)739710 18.2610 26.9383 4.1424 1.3325 ω C-H (63)691(vw)-657631 85.0083 48.3679 4.8298 1.2298 ω C-H (62)643(vw)641(s)650624 0.0110 5.7487 6.8156 1.6942 ω C-H (64)514(vs)517(s)533512 21.0532 6.8941 3.1073 0.5196 tRtrigd (61)488(vs)483(w)476457 20.6671 0.5444 5.7366 0.7671 tRasymd (63)-401(vw)425408 0.8241 0.0177 3.2567 0.3469 v C-Cl (75)407(vw)399(s)422405 2.0432 0.0207 3.8739 0.4069 tRsymd (62)- $352(ms)$ 363 348 0.7100 6.3271 7.5396 0.5861 b C-F (71)- $293(s)$ 299 287 0.0004 0.0286 2.7462 0.1442 b C-Cl (72)- $181(vs)$ 202 194 3.0108 4.2479 10.2906 0.2475 ω C-C (65) 84 80 1.1555 2.2650 12.8216 0.0535 ω C-F (62)	851(ms)	-			38.4947				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									ω C-H (65)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		792(s)							
		714(s)							
514(vs) 517(s) 533 512 21.0532 6.8941 3.1073 0.5196 tRtrigd (61) 488(vs) 483(w) 476 457 20.6671 0.5444 5.7366 0.7671 tRasymd (63) - 401(vw) 425 408 0.8241 0.0177 3.2567 0.3469 v C-Cl (75) 407(vw) 399(s) 422 405 2.0432 0.0207 3.8739 0.4069 tRsymd (62) - 352(ms) 363 348 0.7100 6.3271 7.5396 0.5861 b C-F (71) - 293(s) 299 287 0.0004 0.0286 2.7462 0.1442 b C-Cl (72) - 181(vs) 202 194 3.0108 4.2479 10.2906 0.2475 ω C-C (65) - - 84 80 1.1555 2.2650 12.8216 0.0535 ω C-F (62)					85.0083				
488(vs) 483(w) 476 457 20.6671 0.5444 5.7366 0.7671 tRasymd (63) - 401(vw) 425 408 0.8241 0.0177 3.2567 0.3469 v C-Cl (75) 407(vw) 399(s) 422 405 2.0432 0.0207 3.8739 0.4069 tRsymd (62) - 352(ms) 363 348 0.7100 6.3271 7.5396 0.5861 b C-F (71) - 293(s) 299 287 0.0004 0.0286 2.7462 0.1442 b C-Cl (72) - 181(vs) 202 194 3.0108 4.2479 10.2906 0.2475 ω C-C (65) - - 84 80 1.1555 2.2650 12.8216 0.0535 ω C-F (62)									
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$									÷ , ,
407(vw) 399(s) 422 405 2.0432 0.0207 3.8739 0.4069 tRsymd (62) - 352(ms) 363 348 0.7100 6.3271 7.5396 0.5861 b C-F (71) - 293(s) 299 287 0.0004 0.0286 2.7462 0.1442 b C-Cl (72) - 181(vs) 202 194 3.0108 4.2479 10.2906 0.2475 ω C-C (65) - - 84 80 1.1555 2.2650 12.8216 0.0535 ω C-F (62)	488(vs)								- · ·
- 352(ms) 363 348 0.7100 6.3271 7.5396 0.5861 b C-F (71) - 293(s) 299 287 0.0004 0.0286 2.7462 0.1442 b C-Cl (72) - 181(vs) 202 194 3.0108 4.2479 10.2906 0.2475 ω C-C (65) - - 84 80 1.1555 2.2650 12.8216 0.0535 ω C-F (62)		. ,							
- 293(s) 299 287 0.0004 0.0286 2.7462 0.1442 b C-Cl (72) - 181(vs) 202 194 3.0108 4.2479 10.2906 0.2475 ω C-C (65) - - 84 80 1.1555 2.2650 12.8216 0.0535 ω C-F (62)	407(vw)								
- 181(vs) 202 194 3.0108 4.2479 10.2906 0.2475 ω C-C (65) - - 84 80 1.1555 2.2650 12.8216 0.0535 ω C-F (62)	-	· · ·							
84 80 1.1555 2.2650 12.8216 0.0535 ωC-F (62)	-								
	-	181(vs)							
45 43 1.8264 4.8562 4.5486 0.0054 ω C-Cl (61)	-	-							
	-	-	45	43	1.8264	4.8562	4.5486	0.0054	ω C-Cl (61)

v-stretching; b-bending; ω-out-of-plane bending; R-ring; trigd-trigonal deformation; symd-symmetric deformation; asymd-antisymmetric deformation; s-strong; vs-very strong; ms-medium strong; w-weak; vw-very weak

H \rightarrow L+2 as given in Table 5. Hence, the CMFB has been unsaturated due to the $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ type transition arises with interface in the aromatic ring. These properties of CMFB reflect the eigen values of HOMO and LUMO.

Mulliken atomic charges

The reactive charges³² show the dynamic application in quantum calculation, since they affect

the electronic properties of the molecule. The Mullikan analysis plot utilizing B3LYP with 6-311++G (d, p) basis sets for CMFB is given in Fig. 6 and values are recorded in Table 6. The positive values (0.1911, 0.1921, 0.1573, 0.2065, 0.2075 and 0.1573) of H8, H9, H11, H12, H14, and H15 represents that CMFB is more acidic. The partial charges of C1, C2, C3, C4, C5, C6, and C7 are highly influenced by their substituents. Further, the two

electronegative chlorine and fluorine (Cl 10 and F13) dominate the largest negative charge of CMFB (-0.1505 and -0.1677). Also, the Mullikan charge has been utilized to explain the forms of electronegativity balance and exchange in chemical responses³³ to exterior molecular surfaces. The Mullikan charge of chlorine is bigger than the fluorine. It signifies that chlorine is more acidic than the fluorine.

Table 4 — Chemical parameters of 1-(chloromethyl)-4- fluorobenzene				
Molecular Properties	B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p)			
HOMO (eV)	-9.196			
LUMO (eV)	-4.794			
$\Delta E \left(E_{HOMO} - E_{LUMO} \right) (eV) $ 4.402				
Ionization potential (I) (eV) 9.196				
Electron affinity (A) (eV)	4.794			
Global hardness (η) (eV)	2.201			
Global softness (s) (eV ⁻¹)	0.227			
Electronegativity (χ) (eV) 6.995				
Chemical potential (μ) (eV) -6.995				
Global electrophilicity (w) (eV) 11.117				

Natural bonding orbital analysis [NBO]

NBO gives an exact method for examining the interaction bonds and exploring charge exchange in several molecular frameworks. In general, greater the stabilization energy value, there will be more tendency to donate electrons to acceptor orbitals and causes more prominent degree of conjugation in any system as³⁴

$$E_2 = \Delta E_{ij} = q_i \frac{F(i,j)^2}{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j}$$

Where F(i,j) is the Fock matrix NBO element, ε_i and ε_j are diagonal elements and q_i is the donor orbital occupancy. NBO investigation has been completed for CMFB at the DFT/B3LYP 6-311++G (d, p) level to explain the molecular stabilization and are recorded in Table 7. The solid interaction (E (2) = 10.82 kcal/mol) is gotten between the π (C2-C3) orbital and $\pi * (C4 - C5)$ orbital, and another



Fig. 4 — Contribution of HOMO-LUMO energy gap for 1-(chloromethyl)-4-fluorobenzene

Table 5 — Molecular orbital contributions of 1-(chloromethyl)-4-fluorobenzene					
	TDI	DFT/ B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) N	lethod		
Energy (eV)	Oscillator strength	Wavelength (nm)	Major contributions	Assignment	
5.1754	0.0068	239.56	$H \rightarrow L (65\%)$	$\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$	
5.7360	0.0920	216.15	$H \rightarrow L+1(76\%)$	$\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$	
6.0422	0.0002	205.20	$H \rightarrow L+2(98\%)$	$\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$	



Fig. 5 — Absorption spectrum of 1-(chloromethyl)-4-fluorobenzene



Fig. 6 — Mullikan charges of 1-(chloromethyl)-4-fluorobenzene

E (2) = 10.09kcal/mol is observed between π (C1–C6) orbital and π * (C4–C5) orbital. These are characteristic highlights of chemical activity of CMFB.

NMR spectral analysis

The optimized CMFB has been utilized in the ¹³C and ¹H NMR spectra using DFT/ B3LYP 6-311++G (d, p) method employing the GIAO strategy. It is the effective way to interpret the structure of huge biomolecules³⁵. The computational ¹³C and ¹H isotropic shift values of the CMFB with tetramethyl silane (TMS) as a reference is recorded in Table 8. The ¹³C calculated spectra have appeared in Fig. 7. In common, the chemical shift range of aromatic carbon

	fluorobenzene			
Atom	Mulliken's atomic charges			
	B3LYP/6-311++G(d.p)			
1C	2.0115			
2C	-1.0290			
3C	0.2363			
4C	-0.7181			
5C	0.2362			
6C	-1.0294			
7C	-0.4994			
8H	0.1911			
9H	0.1921			
10Cl	-0.1505			
11H	0.1573			
12H	0.2065			
13F	-0.1677			
14H	0.2075			
15H	0.1573			

Table 6 — Mulliken's charge of 1-(chloromethyl)-4-

molecules lies from 100 to 200 ppm³⁶. In this case, the computational ¹³C NMR values of the aromatic carbons are gotten in between 122.57 and 171.46 ppm. The high electronegative properties of the chlorine and bromine atoms deliver positive charges to the carbon atoms. Therefore, the highest shift of aromatic carbons C4 and C1 are found as 171.46 and 144.91 ppm, respectively. The carbon C7 gives the lowest shift at 48.47 ppm, since it is coupled to the two H atoms. The H9 protons linked with methyl group exhibits the lowest shift at 3.52 ppm. Hydrogens connected straight forwardly, their protecting diminishes shielding, and the resonance leads to higher wavenumber. Hydrogens put closer to electron donor the resonance moved to lower wavenumber. The computed chemical shifts of H11, H12, H14 and H15 attached directly to carbon atoms have the most extreme of 7.67, 7.27, 7.28 and 7.65 ppm and are given in Fig. 8.

Molecular electrostatic potential surface analysis

MEP surface can give responsive locales of electrophilic, nucleophilic, molecular shape as well as hydrogen holding reactions³⁷. This MEP surface used to differentiate the electron - deficient, slightly

Table 7 — Natural bond orbital analyses for 1-(chloromethyl)-4-fluorobenzene						
Donor(i)	ED(i) (e)	Acceptor (j)	ED (j) (e)	Stabilization energy E(2) (kJ mol ⁻¹)	Energy difference E(j) - E(i) (a.u.)	Fock matrix element F (I,j) (a.u.)
σ (C1-C2)	0.98747	σ*(C1-C6)	0.01169	1.83	1.28	0.061
σ (C1-C6)	0.98748	σ*(C1-C2)	0.01172	1.83	1.28	0.061
σ(C1-C6)	0.98748	σ*(C5-C6)	0.00642	1.47	1.28	0.055
σ (C1-C6)	0.98748	σ*(C5-H14)	0.00619	1.12	1.12	0.045
π (C1-C6)	0.82797	$\pi^{*}(C2-C3)$	0.16787	10.7	0.28	0.069
π (C1-C6)	0.82797	$\pi^{*}(C4-C5)$	0.18522	10.09	0.27	0.066
π (C1-C6)	0.82797	σ*(C7-Cl10)	0.01343	2.49	0.4	0.043
σ(C2-C3)	0.98682	σ*(C1-C2)	0.01172	1.66	1.28	0.058
σ (C2-C3)	0.98682	σ*(C1-C7)	0.01522	1.89	1.08	0.057
σ (C2-C3)	0.98682	σ *(C4-F13)	0.01538	2.01	0.97	0.056
π (C2-C3)	0.84003	$\pi^{*}(C1-C6)$	0.17869	9.9	0.29	0.068
π (C2-C3)	0.84003	$\pi^{*}(C4-C5)$	0.01357	10.82	0.27	0.07
σ(C3-C4)	0.99027	σ*(C4-C5)	0.18522	1.91	1.26	0.062
σ (C3-H12)	0.98896	σ*(C1-C2)	0.01172	1.78	1.1	0.056
σ (C3-H12)	0.98896	σ*(C4-C5)	0.01357	1.82	1.07	0.056
σ (C4-C5)	0.99028	σ*(C3-C4)	0.01359	1.91	1.26	0.062
σ (C4-C5)	0.99028	σ*(C5-C6)	0.00642	1.24	1.29	0.05
σ (C4-C5)	0.99028	σ*(C5-H14)	0.00619	0.42	1.13	0.028
π (C4-C5)	0.82708	$\pi^{*}(C1-C6)$	0.17869	10.12	0.3	0.07
π (C4-C5)	0.82708	$\pi^{*}(C2-C3)$	0.16787	9.54	0.29	0.067
σ (C5-C6)	0.98680	σ*(C1-C6)	0.01169	1.66	1.28	0.058
σ (C5-C6)	0.98680	σ*(C1-C7)	0.01522	1.89	1.08	0.057
σ (C5-C6)	0.98680	σ*(C4-C5)	0.01357	1.15	1.25	0.048
σ (C5-C6)	0.98680	σ*(C4-F13)	0.01538	2.02	0.97	0.056
σ (C5-H14)	0.98896	σ*(C1-C6)	0.01169	1.77	1.1	0.056
σ (C5-H14)	0.98896	σ*(C3-C4)	0.01359	1.82	1.07	0.056
σ (C6-H15)	0.98945	σ*(C1-C2)	0.01172	2.4	1.1	0.065
σ (C6-H15)	0.98945	σ*(C4-C5)	0.01357	1.6	1.07	0.052
σ (C7-H8)	0.99356	σ*(C1-C6)	0.01169	1.64	1.12	0.054
σ (C7-H9)	0.99366	σ* (C4-F13)	0.01538	1.68	1.12	0.055
LP(C110)	0.99838	σ*(C7-H8)	0.01031	2.13	0.72	0.05
LP(C110)	0.99838	σ*(C7-H9)	0.01023	2.03	0.72	0.048
LP(C110)	0.99838	σ*(C1-C7)	0.01522	2.05	0.68	0.047
LP(F13)	0.99472	σ*(C3-C4)	0.01359	3.12	0.96	0.069
LP(F13)	0.99472	σ*(C4-C5)	0.01357	3.12	0.97	0.069
LP(F13)	0.99472	π* (C4-C5)	0.18522	9.26	0.43	0.086
^a E(2) means energy of hyperconjugative interactions.						

^bEnergy difference between donor and acceptor i and j NBO orbitals.

^cF(i,j) is the Fock matrix element between i and j NBO orbitals

deficient, rich, slightly rich by understanding its color codes as blue color, light blue color, red, and yellow, respectively. The MEP surface of CMFB has been portrayed in Fig. 9. The negative potential of CMFB is found over the chlorine (Cl10) and fluorine atoms (F13), which are due to the lone pair negative charges. The C6 atom is also electronegative since it is prepared to be held adjacent to chlorine. The positive locales are nucleophilic and are found in the hydrogens of methyl group (H8 and H9) and other hydrogens attached with the ring. Blue and red represents the region of hydrogen and chlorine has secured to be most attractive and repulsive regions, respectively. The MEP colour code of CMFB is ranging between -0.02949 a.u. (red) and +0.02949 a.u (blue). The MEP of CMFB explains that the methyl chloride and fluorine atoms are probably outbreak of the reactive sites.

Non-linear optical (NLO) effects

An expansive research about modern materials exhibiting NLO highlights have been of extraordinary interest, since of potential applications in modern communication innovation, media transmission, and optical flag processing³⁸⁻⁴⁰. Key significance of the polarizability and the primary hyperpolarizability

TMS for 1-(chloromethyl)-4-fluorobenzene					
Atom	Atom Theoretical values (ppm)				
	Chemical shielding	Chemical shift			
1C	37.55	144.91			
2C	47.25	135.21			
3C	59.88	122.57			
4C	10.99	171.46			
5C	59.98	122.48			
6C	47.37	135.09			
7C	133.98	48.47			
8H	28.33	3.54			
9H	28.36	3.52			
11H	24.21	7.67			
12H	24.60	7.27			
14H	24.60	7.28			
15H	24.22	7.65			

Table 8 — The ¹³C and ¹H NMR chemical shifts with respect to





depend on acceptor and donor charge exchange of the molecule⁴¹. Particularly, organic systems are designed to have high NLO susceptibilities rising π -electron and fast responding time. The total static dipole moment μ , the average linear polarizability $\overline{\alpha}$, the anisotropy of the polarizability $\Delta \alpha$, and the first hyperpolarizability β can be calculated by using the following equations⁴²:

$$\overline{\alpha} = \frac{1}{3} (\alpha_{XX} + \alpha_{YY} + \alpha_{ZZ})$$

$$\Delta \alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\left[(\alpha_{XX} - \alpha_{YY})^2 + (\alpha_{YY} - \alpha_{ZZ})^2 + (\alpha_{ZZ} - \alpha_{XX})^2 + 6\alpha_{XX}^2 \right] \right]^{1/2}$$

$$\mu = (\mu_x^2 + \mu_y^2 + \mu_z^2)^{1/2}$$

Components	Values	Components	Values			
μ_x	0.0985	β_{xxx}	0.5970732			
μ_{v}	0.0008	β_{xxy}	-13.3211062			
μ_z	1.8915	β_{xyy}	2.0227119			
μ_{total}	1.8941	β_{yyy}	450.7415024			
α_{xx}	92.3321118	β_{xxz}	-20.2389858			
α_{xv}	0.1419273	β_{xyz}	-0.3652308			
α_{vv}	124.281812	β_{yyz}	-21.2177669			
α_{xz}	0.3409912	β_{xzz}	0.11446 82			
α_{vz}	-1.703112	β_{yzz}	15.4286023			
α_{zz}	64.687378	β_{zzz}	-45.2967076			
$\overline{\alpha}$	13.881 Å ³	β_{total}	4.098×10 ⁻³⁰ cm ⁵			
50			e.s.u. ⁻¹			
Δα	24.941 Å ³					







Fig. 9 — MEP of 1-(chloromethyl)-4-fluorobenzene

$$\begin{split} \beta &= [(\beta_{XXX} + \beta_{XYy} + \beta_{XZZ})^2 + (\beta_{YYy} + \beta_{XXy} + \beta_{YZZ})^2 \\ &+ (\beta_{ZZZ} + \beta_{XXZ} + \beta_{YYZ})^2]^{1/2} \end{split}$$

The components of dipole moment (μ) , polarizability (α) and the first hyperpolarizability (β) of CMFB are given in Table 9. The calculated values

Table 9 — Calculated dipole moment μ (Debye), polarizability (α) and the first hyperpolarizability (β) components (a.u.) of 1-(chloromethyl)-4-fluorobenzene

of total static dipole moment μ , the average linear polarizability $\overline{\alpha}$, the anisotropy of the polarizability $\Delta \alpha$, and the first hyperpolarizability β of CMFB by DFT-B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) method are 1.8941 D, 13.881 Å³, 24.941 Å³ and 4.098×10⁻³⁰ cm⁵ e.s.u.⁻¹, respectively, which is good comparable with the reported values of urea. Urea is a typical molecule with NLO properties and commonly used for relative purposes. The values of μ , $\overline{\alpha}$ and β attained bySun *et al.*⁴³ for urea are 1.373 D, 3.831 Å³ and 0.3729×10⁻³⁰ e.s.u.⁻¹, respectively. Therefore, the hyperpolarizability of CMFB is 11 bigger than of Urea and may found to be an interesting object for the development of NLO studies.

Conclusion

The optimized structural parameters and spectroscopic studies of the 1-(chloromethyl)-4fluorobenzene (CMFB) have been investigated by DFT method. Frequencies of normal modes have been analyzed and agree well with the experimental values. MEP investigation shows the electrophilic and nucleophilic responsive locales of the molecule. The Mulliken distribution and FMOs analysis confirm the chemical activity of the molecule. The electronic spectra of CMFB are performed which reflects the frontier molecular orbitals. The computed chemical shifts of ¹³C and ¹H NMR reflects the structural information of the molecule. The NBO indicates the intra and intermolecular charge exchange of the CMFB. The result of nonlinear optical studies indicates that the CMFB might have potential material in the development of NLO applications.

References

- 1 M Rossberg, et al., "Chlorinated Hydrocarbons," In: Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry, (Wiley-VCH, Weinheim) 2006.
- 2 Long C, Li Q, Li Y, Liu Y, Li A & Zhang Q, *Chem Eng J*, 160 (2010) 723.
- 3 Mahadevan D, Periandy S, Karabacak M & Ramalingam S, Spectrochim Acta Part A, 82 (2011) 481.
- 4 Govindarajan M, Karabacak M, Udayakumar V & Periandy S, Specctrochim Acta Part A, 88 (2012) 37.
- 5 Mahendraprabu A, Karthick N K, Kannan P P, Sangeetha T, Kumbharkhane A C, & Arivazhagan G, J Mol Struct, 1205 (2020) 127565.
- 6 Vijisha M V, Parambath Sneha, Jagadeesan Ramesh, Arunkumar Chellaiah & Chandrasekharan K, Dyes Pigm, 169 (2019) 29.
- 7 Vaschetto M E, Retamal B A & Monkman A P, *J Mol Struct Theochem*, 468 (1999) 209.
- 8 Parthasarathy R, Elango M, Padamanaban J, Subramanian V, Roy D R, Sarkar U & Chattaraj P K, *Indian J Chem*, 45A (2006) 111.

- 9 Arjunan V, Arushma Raj, Anitha R, & Mohan S, *Spectrochim Acta Part A*, 125 (2014) 160.
- 10 Frisch M J, Trucks G W & Schlegal H B *et al*, *Gaussian 09*, *Revision A 02*, (Gaussian, Inc: Wallingford CT), 2009.
- 11 Becke A D, J Chem Phys, 98 (1993) 5648.
- 12 Lee C, Yang W & Parr R R, Phys Rev, B37 (1988) 785.
- 13 Castella-Ventura, Kassab E, Buntinx G & Poizat O, *Phys Chem Phy*, 2 (2000) 4682.
- 14 Young D C, Computational Chemistry: A Practical Guide for Applying Techniques to Real-World Problems, (John Wiley & Sons Ltd, New York), 2001.
- 15 Dennington R, Keith T & Millam J, GaussView, Version 5.0.8.: Semichem Inc., Shawnee Mission KS; (2009).
- 16 MOLVIB (V 7 0): Calculation of Harmonic Force Fields and Vibrational Modes of Molecules, *QCPE Program* No 807 (2002).
- 17 Sundaraganesan N, Kalaichelvan S, Meganathan C, Dominic Joshua B & Cornard J, Spectrochim Acta Part A, 71A (2008) 898.
- 18 Katarzyna Gajda, Błaz ej Dziuk & Zdzisław Daszkiewicz, *IUCr Report* 1 (2016) 1.
- 19 Ahmed M K & Henry B R, J Phys Chem, 90 (1986) 1737.
- 20 Yasir, Ammar, Mehmet Akkurt, Muhammad Athar Abbasi, Muhammad Jahangir & Islam Ullah Khan, *Acta Cryst E*, 66 (2010) 01667.
- 21 Ramalingam S, Periandy S, Narayanan B & Mohan S, *Spectrochim Acta Part A*, 75A (2010) 1308.
- 22 Ramalingam S, Periandy S, Narayanan B & Mohan S, *Spectrochim Acta Part A*, 76A (2010) 84.
- 23 Arjunan V & Mohan S, J Mol Struct, 892 (2008) 289.
- 24 Sundaraganesan H, Anand B & Dominic Joshua B, Spectrochim Acta Part A, 65A (2006) 1053.
- 25 Mahadeevan D, Periandy S, Karabacak M & Ramalingam S, *Spectrochim Acta Part A*, 82A (2011) 481.
- 26 Sundaraganesan N, Anand B, Meganathan C & Dominic Joshua B, Spectrochim Acta Part A, 68A (2007) 561.
- 27 Socrates G, Infrared and Raman Characteristic Group Frequencies, (John Wiley: New York), 2001.
- 28 Singh V D, Uppal Kamni A, Khajuria Y, Narayana B, Srinivasan R, Sarojini B K, Sumati Anthal & Rajni Kant, *Indian J Chem*, 59B (2020) 1043.
- 29 Rama I, Subashini A & Selvameena R, Indian J Chem, 59A (2020) 1449.
- 30 Jeyavijayan S, Spectrochim Acta Part A, 136 (2015) 553.
- 31 Jeyavijayan S & Palanimurugan, Asian J Chem, 33 (2021) 83.
- 32 Rastogi V K, Palafox M A, Mittai L, Perica N, Kiefer W, Lang K & Ohja P, *J Raman spectrosc*, 38 (2007) 1227.
- 33 Gladis Anitha E, Joseph Vedhagiri S & Parimala K, *Spectrochim Acta Part A*, (2014) 1386.
- 34 Prathipa C, Kalpana P & Akilandeswari L, Indian J Chem, 57A (2018) 643.
- 35 Rastogi K, Palafox M A, Tanwar R P & Mittal L, *Spectrochim Acta Part A*, 58A (2002) 1987.
- 36 Luque J, Lopez J M & Orozco M, Theor Chem Acc, 103 (2000) 343.
- 37 Omar R, Koparir P, Ahmed L & Koparir M, *Indian J Chem*, 60B (2021) 732.

- 38 Sundaraganesan N, Karpagam J, Sebastian S, & Cornard J P, Spectrochim Acta Part A, 73A (2009) 11.
- 39 Siva V, Suresh Kumar S, Suresh M, Raja M, Athimoolam S & Asath Bahadur S, *J Mol Struct*, 1133 (2017) 163.
- 40 Karaback M, Sibel Bilgili, & Ahmet Atac, *Spectrochim Acta Part A*, 150A (2015) 83.
- 41 Geskin V M, Lambert C, & Bredas J L, J Am Chem Soc, 125 (2003) 15651.
- 42 Sajan D, Hubert Joe I, Jayakumar V S, & Zaleski J, *J Mol Struct*, 785 (2006) 43.
- 43 Sun Y X, Hao Q L, Wei W X, Yu Z X, Lu L D, Wang X & Wang Y S, *J Mol Struct Theochem*, 904 (2009) 74.