

Indian Journal of Experimental Biology Vol. 58, April 2021, pp. 254-262



Evaluation of insecticides against cotton mealybug, *Phenacoccus solenopsis* Tinsley and their safety to important predators

Sachin S. Suroshe*¹, RD Gautam¹, Subhash Chander¹ & Babasaheb B. Fand²

¹Division of Entomology, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, Pusa, New Delhi-110 012, India ²ICAR-Central Institute for Cotton Research, Nagpur-440 010, Maharashtra, India

Received 11 April 20019; revised 19 September 2020

Cotton plays an important role in the world economy by supplying raw materials to different manufacturing units. Of late, it is being seriously attacked by the cotton mealybug, Phenacoccus solenopsis Tinsley. The biological control involving coccinellid beetles and chrysopids as predators could lead to ecologically sustainable mealybug control. Here, in the context of conservation of natural enemies, we explored the efficacy of insecticides on the cotton mealybug and also safety to other predators. Six insecticides viz; chlorpyrifos 20 EC (0.05%), endosulfan 35 EC (0.07%), monocrotophos 36 SL (0.04%), malathion 50 EC (0.12%), dichlorvos 76 EC (0.15%), and alphamethrin 10 EC (0.01%) were tested for their residual toxicity against the mealybug, P. solenopsis and its coccinellid predators. Studies revealed that, both chlorpyrifos and malathion showed highest toxicity in terms of mean mortality (100%) to female mealybugs at 24 h of exposure as against lowest in endosulfan (35%). Interestingly, chlorpyrifos and dichlorvos, which proved toxic to mealybug were less toxic to the grubs of Cryptolaemus montrouzieri, registering only 21.66 per cent and 34.16 per cent mortality, respectively. These insecticides when offered to the adults along with honey differed in toxicity as stomach poison at 24 hrs of exposure. Endosulfan registered mortality to the tune of 60 and 70% against Coccinella septempunctata and Cheilomenes sexmaculata, respectively. However, stomach toxicity pertaining to the insecticide-sprayed mealybugs as prey offered to all the three species of predators indicated that the insecticides, chlorpyrifos and endosulfan were lesser toxic to these wherein mean mortality ranged from 38.09 to 56.66 and 50 to 65.71%, respectively. Dichlorvos was the most toxic, registering 100% mortality for all 3 predators viz. Nephus regularis, Scymnus coccivora and Hyperaspis maindroni. The release of C. montrouzieri coupled with chlorpyrifos is likely to be a better option for the management of mealybugs infesting several agri-horticultural crops.

Keywords: Alphamethrin, Biological control, Chlorpyrifos Chrysopids, Cheilomenes sexmaculata, Coccinella septempunctata, Coccinellid beetles, Cryptolaemus montrouzieri, Dichlorvos, Endosulfan, Hyperaspis maindroni, IPM, Malathion, Mealybugs, Monocrotophos, Nephus regularis, Scymnus coccivora

Mealybugs (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) are cottony in appearance, small oval, soft-bodied sucking insects and are sometimes mistaken for soft bodied scale insects. Adults and nymphs are found on leaves, stems and roots and are covered with white mealy wax, which makes it difficult to eradicate them 1,2 . More than 100 species of mealybugs are reported to attack a variety of plant species in India³. The exotic Phenacoccus solenopsis Solenopsis mealybug, Tinsley (Hemiptera: Sternorrhyncha: Coccioidea: Pseudococcidae), earlier reported as Solanum mealybug, Phenacoccus solani Ferris⁴ was later reported as P. solenopsis⁵. Presently, this cotton mealybug has a worldwide distribution covering Central America, the Caribbean and Ecuador⁶, Brazil⁷, Pakistan and India⁸, China⁹, Nigeria¹⁰ and

*Correspondence: E-Mail: sachinsuroshe@gmail.com Australia¹¹. The cotton mealubug, *P. solenopsis* is a highly polyphagous pest with a record of 194 host plants¹², distributed in a wide range of agro-ecological zones of several countries, is now considered the most deadly invasive species of mealyubug¹³, having spreadto sub-ropical and tropical parts of the world and might pose serious threats to agri-horticultural crops¹⁴⁻¹⁷. It has caused havoc among the crops of economic importance in almost all the parts of the country and warrants search for efficient bio-intensive management options including judicious use of chemical insecticides. In Punjab, mealybug pesticides worth over Rs. 500 crores were sold in just three months from June to August in 2007¹⁸.

In India, there are about 32 insecticides and eight combination products registered with the Central Insecticide Board and Registration Committee (CIBRC) for sucking pest management in cotton, tomato, brinjal and papaya. Conventional insecticides, such as methyl demeton, quinalphos, fenthion, acephate, dimethoate, malathion, and white mineral oils were the earlier preferred chemicals for bringing down population levels². Newer insecticides are also quite effective but are expensive than the earlier recommended insecticides, and hence not preferred by the marginal farmers for mealybug management. However, the resistant nature of the waxy coating, the toxicity against natural enemies and the need for frequent applications of pesticides, renders insecticidal treatments effective only for short period. It could be achieved by the judicious use of pesticides, which could kill the pest effectively and remain less harmful to the natural enemies. Normally, mealybugs are amenable to be controlled by naturally enemies without the need for insecticidal application. Hence, chemical control tactic should be the last resort for cotton mealybug management within the framework of IPM strategy¹⁹.

Good number of natural enemies comprising coccinellids viz., Hyperaspis maindroni, Brumoides suturalis, Cheilomenes sexmaculata, Cryptolaemus montrouzieri, Nephus regularis and Scymnus coccivora; chrysopids, Chrysoperla carnea and Mallada sp. are known to feed on Phenacoccus spp.²⁰⁻²³. Use of biological control agents viz. C. montrouzieri and C. carnea has already been advocated for sucking pest management²⁴.

Before the inclusion of insecticides in the integrated pest management (IPM) modules or advocating it for chemical control independently, they have to be tested for its bio-efficacy against the mealybug and safety to their predators^{25,26}. In the present study, we tried to elucidate the bio-efficacy of recommended insecticides against the cotton mealybug, *Phenacoccus solenopsis* including their safety to coccinellid and chrysopid predators.

Materials and Methods

A detail of the insecticides used for current studies is given in Table 1. Studies were carried out in the Biological Control Laboratory, IARI, New Delhi at $27\pm2^{\circ}$ C and $60\pm5^{\circ}$ RH.

Residual toxicity of insecticides against the females and crawlers of *P. solenopsis*

Six insecticides, *viz.* chlorpyrifos (0.05 %), endosulfan (0.07 %), monocrotophos (0.04 %), malathion (0.125 %), dichlorvos (0.15 %), and

Ph	enacoccus	s solenopsis and its	s predators	
Insecticide	Trade name	Source	Concentrat Insecticide solution (Field dose)	ion (%) Stomach poison
Chlorpyrifos 20 EC	Dursban	Dow Agro Sciences India Pvt. Ltd.	0.05	0.1
Endosulfan 35 EC	Endocel	Excel Crop Care Ltd.	0.07	0.14
Monocrotophos 36 SL	Chetak	Crop Chemicals India Ltd.	0.04	0.08
Malathion 50 EC	Surya- thion	Surya Pesticides Ltd.	0.125	0.25
Dichlorvos 76 EC	Doom	United phosphorous Ltd.	0.15	0.3
Alphamethrin 10 EC	Alfagold	Crop Chemicals India Ltd.	0.01	0.02

Table 1 — Details of insecticides used for toxicological studies on

alphamethrin (0.01%) were tested using field recommended doses against the females and crawlers of P. solenopsis. Glass Petri plates (10 cm Θ) for females and glass cylinders (10×4 cm size) for crawlers were used in the experimentation. The inner sides of the plate and cylinders were smeared with 1.0 mL solution of respective insecticide in acetone^{27,28}. A batch of Petri plates/glass cylinders coated with acetone only was kept as control and the experiment was replicated thrice. Then, the Petri plates/glass cylinders were shade dried for half hour. After shade drying, a batch of 15 females and 20 crawlers was released in to the Petri plates and glass cylinders, respectively. Females were provided with China rose leaves for feeding. The observations on mortality were recorded at 24, 48, 72 and 96 h of exposure to the insecticides for the females. Since, the life of crawlers is not more than a day; mortality data for it was recorded at 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 24 h of exposure to the insecticides.

In case of crawlers, as they are small in size and are prone to escape from the Petri plates we used glass cylinders instead of Petri plates. The mouth of the glass cylinders was secured with paraffin wax film and punctured with entomological pins to facilitate crawler's respiration and also to prevent their outward movement. Crawlers were also provided with China rose leaves for feeding.

Residual toxicity of insecticides against the predators of *P. solenopsis*

The same six insecticides mentioned above were tested at field doses against the adults and grubs of predators namely *Coccinella setempunctata*, Cheilomenes sexmaculata, N. regularis, S. coccivora and H. maindroni and only grubs of Cryptolaemus montrouzieri (last instar) and Mallada sp. (2nd instar). Petri plates (10×4 cm size) were used for adult and grubs of all predators except N. regularis and S. coccivora for which glass cylinders were used. Procedure of treating the Petri plates and glass cylinders remains same as discussed above. Experiment was replicated thrice. After shade drying of the Petri plates and glass cylinders, a batch of (10 each adults/grub (24 h old) of C. septempunctata and C. sexmaculata; 15 each adults/grubs of N. regularis and S. coccivora; 10 each adults/grubs of H. maindroni and 10 grubs each of C. montrouzieri and Mallada sp. was released. The mouth of the glass cylinders was secured with black muslin cloth and tied with the rubber band. Live adults/grubs of predators after 24 h were transferred to new fresh Petri plates/glass cylinders and fed with mealybugs. The observations on the mortality were taken at 24, 48, 72 and 96 h of exposure to insecticides.

Toxicity of insecticides incorporated honey (stomach poison) against the predators

Adults of two coccinellid predators viz. C. septempunctata and C. sexmaculata were tested for stomach toxicity of these test insecticides. Honey (0.5 g) was taken in six homeopathic glass vials and 1ml of field dose of each insecticidal solution prepared in acetone was added in each vial. In case of control, only one mL of acetone along with honey (0.5 g) was used. Then the vials were left overnight during which insecticides got homogenized with honey and acetone evaporated. Fresh solutions were prepared for stomach poison experiment. Petri plates (10 cm Θ) were taken and batches of 10 (24 h old) well-fed adult predators were released in to the Petri plates. The inner walls of Petri-plates were smeared with respective stomach poisons. Batch of glass cylinders smeared with acetone treated honey were kept as control and the experiment was replicated thrice. After completion of 24 h, live predators were transferred to the fresh untreated Petri plates and fed with honey. The observations on the mortality were taken at 24, 48, 72 and 96 h of exposure to insecticides.

Stomach toxicity of insecticides treated *P. solenopsis* against the predators

The same six insecticides mentioned above were used at field doses against the adults of predators *viz*. *N. regularis*, *S. coccivora* and *H. maindroni*. A total 30 mealybugs consisting of different instars were kept in seven glass cylinders $(10 \times 4 \text{ cm})$ and sprayed with one mL of each six insecticide solution made in acetone One mL acetone, along with control (only acetone). All the treatments were sprayed with the help of hand glass atomizer. Treated glass cylinders were shade dried for 30 min. Then, treated mealybugs were removed and offered for consumption to the starving cocccinellids kept in separate individual glass cylinders. Experiment was replicated thrice. After completion of six h, live predators were transferred to the fresh untreated glass cylinders and fed with fresh mealybugs. The observations on the mortality were recorded at 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 24 h of exposure to the insecticides²⁸.

Results and Discussion

Residual toxicity of insecticides against female and crawlers of *P. solenopsis*

Results of film residual toxicity of insecticides applied at field dose to females of P. solenopsis are given in Table 2. Findings indicated that, both chlorpyrifos and malathion showed high toxicity (100% mortality) to female mealybug at 24 h of exposure followed by dichlorvos (95.54%), monocrotophos (82.84%), alphamethrin (58.34%) and endosulfan (35%). The China rose leaves which were offered for feeding to P. solenopsis, exhibited phytotoxicity when treated with dichlorvos. In case of residual toxicity to crawlers of *P. solenopsis* (Table 2) results showed that, all the insecticides registered 100% mortality except endosulfan (25%) after 1 h of exposure.

Results indicated that except endosulfan and alphamethrin (in case of adults), the residual toxicity of all the test insecticides was significantly higher and caused significant mortality to the crawler and female mealybugs. The results were in agreement with²⁹ who reported that synthetic pyrethroids are less effective against Japanese mealybug, Planococcus kraunhiae than organophosphates. In the present study, endosulfan was less toxic to P. solenopsis and is in conformity with³⁰. Similar observations with regards to efficacy of chlorpyrifos and dichlorvos are in conformity with earlier studies^{31,32}. Phytotoxic effects of dichlorvos observed on the China rose leaves in the laboratory may probably be due to fumigant action of the insecticide. Chlorosis and white blotch symptoms have already been observed in maize when sprayed with dichlorvos 76EC @ 2 mL/L for the management of maize stem borer³³.

Residual toxicity of insecticides against the predators of *P. solenopsis*

Results indicated that residual toxicity of all the test insecticides was very high and caused 100% mortality to the adults of *C. septempunctata* as against lowest in endosulfan (53.33 %) at 24 h (Table 3). Similar trend was observed for the grubs of

C. septempunctata, wherein treatments did not statistically differ significantly among each other and recorded 100% mortality for all the insecticides including endosulfan and hence table is omitted. With regards to residual toxicity of insecticides to the adults of *C. sexmaculata* all the insecticides registered 100% mortality at 24 h of exposure except endosulfan

Table	2 — Res	idual toxicity		des applie	d at field	dose to <i>H</i>	Phenacoc	cus sole			ind craw	lers		
-			emales			Crawlers								
Treatments (T)		ılity (%) at dif			Mean	Mortality (%) at different periods (P)							Mean	
Treatments (1)	24 h	48 h	72 h	96 h		1 h	2 h	3 h	4 h	5 h	6 h	24 h		
Chlorpyrifos	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	
	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	
Endosulfan	15.53	35.53	44.47	44.47	35.00	25.00	25.00	26.66	26.66	35.00	36.67	85.00	37.14	
	23.13	36.63	41.83	41.83	35.86	29.94	29.94	30.96	30.96	36.26	37.28	67.44	37.54	
Monocrotophos	79.20	79.20	83.37	89.60	82.84	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	
	63.23	63.23	66.03	74.57	66.77	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	
Malathion	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	
	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	
Dichlorvos	91.10	95.53	97.77	97.77	95.54	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	
	72.86	80.00	85.00	85.00	80.71	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	
Alphamethrin	33.33	62.23	68.90	68.90	58.34	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	
	35.23	52.13	56.17	56.17	49.93	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	
Control	0.00	0.00	2.20	2.20	1.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	28.33	4.04	
	0.00	0.00	4.97	4.97	2.48	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	32.16	4.59	
Mean	59.88	67.50	70.96	71.85		75.00	75.00	75.24	75.24	76.43	76.67	87.62		
	53.50	58.86	62.00	63.22		68.60	68.60	68.75	68.75	69.50	69.65	78.55		
		CD (50)	S.E.	S.E.			C.D. at	S.E	S.E					
		C.D. at 5%	(d)	(m)±			5%	(d)	(m)±					
Treatments (T)		4.17	2.08	1.47			0.88	0.44	0.31					
Period (P)		3.15	1.57	1.11			0.88	0.44	0.31					
T×P		8.34	4.16	2.94			2.34	1.18	0.83					
[Figures in second	l row for e	each treatment	& mean a	re arc sine	transforn	ned value								

Table 3	- Residual	toxicity of insect	icides applie	d at field dose	e to C. sept	tempuncta	<i>ta</i> and C. se:	xmaculat	a adults	
		C. sep	otempunctate	ı			<i>C. se</i>	exmacula	ıta	
Treatments (T)	Mc	ortality (%) at dif	ferent period	ls (P)	Mean	Mortali	ty (%) at dif	ferent per	Mean	
Treatments (1)	24 h	48 h	72 h	96 h	Mean	24 h	48 h	72 h	ta	
Chlorpyrifos	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00
Endosulfan	53.33	63.33	96.67	100.00	78.33	66.67	73.33	100.00	100.00	85.00
	46.93	52.80	83.87	90.00	68.40	55.10	59.27	90.00	90.00	73.59
Monocrotophos	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00
Malathion	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00
Dichlorvos	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00
Alphamethrin	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00
Control	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Mean	79.05	80.48	85.24	85.71		80.95	81.91	85.71	85.71	
	70.99	71.83	76.27	77.14			72.43	73.31	73.31	
		C.D. at 5%	S.E (d)	S.E (m)±			C.D. at 5%	S.E (d)	S.E (m)±	
Treatments (T)		1.80	0.90	0.64			1.84	0.92	0.65	
Period (P)		1.36	0.68	0.48			1.39	0.69	0.49	
$T \times P$		3.61	1.80	1.27			3.68	1.84	1.30	
[Figures in second ro	w for each tr	eatment & mean	are arc sine	transformed v	/alues]					

(66.67%). Based on mean mortality (85%) four days after treatment, endosulfan was found less toxic compared to other insecticides (Table 3). Residual toxicity of all the insecticides was extremely high, registering 100% mortality at 24 h of exposure to the grubs of *C. sexmaculata*, adults and grubs of *N. regularis*, adults and grubs of *S. coccivora*, adults and grubs of *H. maindroni*. As there was no significant difference among the insecticides tested against these stages of predators, respective data have been omitted.

Interestingly, chlorpyrifos registered 0% mortality to the grubs of C. montrouzieri, followed by endosulfan and dichlorvos (26.66%), at 24 h of exposure period. Other insecticides namely monocrotophos and malathion registered 100% Considering mortality. the mean mortality chlorpyrifos (21.66%) followed by dichlorvos (34.16%) was safer to the grubs of C. montrouzieri viz. chlorpyrifos. (Table 4). The insecticides malathion. dichlorvos monocrotophos, and alphamethrin registered 100 per cent mortality to the grubs Mallada sp. at 24 h of exposure as compared to 46.66% in endosulfan (Table 4).

Regarding residual toxicity of insecticides to adults and grubs of predators, results indicate that only endosulfan was safer to adults of *C. septempunctata* and *C. sexmaculata*. However, all the insecticides were very toxic (100%) to grubs of *C. septempunctata*, *C. sexmaculata*, *N. regularis and S. coccivora* and *H. maindroni*. Endosulfan was found safer to the adults of *C. septempunctata* and *C. sexmaculata* due to its low residual toxicity than organophosphates³⁴. Researchers in the past have already reported safety of endosulfan to the predatory coccinellid. In case of alphamethrin, grubs got knock down immediately after its exposure and remained moribund for quite some time³⁵⁻³⁹.

Interestingly, grubs of Cryptolaemus were selective to chlorpyrifos and dichlorvos and susceptible (100%) to monocrotophos and malathion followed with alphamethrin (95%). The studies are in agreement with Ramesh & Azam⁴⁰, who reported dichlorvos as safest and synthetic pyrethroids to be highly toxic to C. montrouzieri. It is inferred that the insecticides (chlorpyrifos and dichlorvos) known for toxicity to coccinellids are safe to Cryptolaemus, which may probably be due to arrested activity and slow spreading nature of Cryptolaemus grubs compared to other active coccinellids grubs⁴¹. It was noted that other coccinellid grubs had profuse activity and thus imbibed lot of insecticides, leading to enhanced toxicity. Adults, which emerged from chlorpyrifos treated grubs were faint in color, and appeared a case of de-melanization. The behavioral resistance of

Table	e 4 — Residu	ual toxicity of ins	secticides app	plied at field o	lose to C.	montrouzi	ieri and Mall	<i>ada</i> sp. g	rubs	
		<i>C. n</i>	nontrouzieri				M_{0}	<i>allada</i> sp		
Tractments (T)	Mc	ortality (%) at dif	ferent period	s (P)	Moon	Mortali	ty (%) at dif	ferent pe	riods (P)	Mean
Treatments (T)	24 h	48 h	72 h	96 h	Mean	24 h	48 h	72 h	96 h	
Chlorpyrifos	0.00	16.66	26.66	43.33	21.66	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	0.00	23.86	30.83	40.80	23.87	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00
Endosulfan	26.66	70.00	100.00	100.00	74.16	46.66	60.00	70.00	70.00	61.67
	30.30	57.03	90.00	90.00	66.83	43.10	50.87	57.03	57.03	52.01
Monocrotophos	100.00	100.0	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00
Malathion	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00
Dichlorvos	26.66	36.66	36.66	36.66	34.16	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	31.00	37.26	37.26	37.26	35.70	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00
Alphamethrin	80.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	95.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	68.10	90.00	90.00	90.00	84.52	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00	90.00
Control	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.13	6.13	6.13	6.13	6.13
Mean	47.61	60.47	66.19	68.57		78.571	80.476	81.905	81.905	
	44.20	55.45	61.15	62.58		71.319	72.429	73.310	73.310	
		C.D. at 5%	S.E (d)	S.E (m)±			C.D. at 5%	S.E (d)	S.E (m)±	
Treatments (T)		4.48	2.24	1.58			3.71	1.85	1.31	
Period (P)		3.39	1.69	1.19			NS.	1.40	0.99	
$T \times P$		8.97	4.48	3.16			NS.	3.71	2.62	
[Figures in second ro	w for each tr	eatment & mean	are arc sine	transformed v	/alues]					

C. montrouzieri against chlorpyrifos and dichlorvos affecting activity of spiracles is worth investigating by the Physiologists and Toxicologists.

With respect to *Mallada* sp. only endosulfan at field dose was found safer at various periods of intervals and was found in agreement with earlier work which reported residual toxicity of endosulfan as safer (mortality <50 %) for 2nd instar grubs of Chrysopid, *C. carnea*⁴².

Toxicity of insecticides incorporated honey (stomach poison) against the predators

With respect to stomach toxicity against the adults of *C. septempunctata* and *C. sexmaculata*, all the insecticides were very toxic and registered 100 per cent mortality at 24 h of exposure as against 60 to 70% in endosulfan (Table 5). Result related to the stomach toxicity of insecticides incorporated honey revealed that only endosulfan was found safer to the adults of *C. septempunctata* and *C. sexmaculata*. As discussed above, the safety of endosulfan is again evidenced. So, it may be inferred that endosulfan is safer both as contact and stomach poison to the adult coccinellids. However, it was found less effective against cotton mealybug, *P. solenopsis*.

Stomach toxicity of insecticides sprayed mealybugs against the predators

Result revealed that no mortality to the adults of *N. regularis* at 1.0 h of exposure was noted due to treatment with chlorpyrifos and endosulfan. Besides, malathion caused very low (13.33%) mortality as against 100% by the dichlorvos (Table 6). Similar trend was noticed in case of *S. coccivora* and *H. maindroni* adults (Table 6).

C. sexmaculata

C. septempunctata											Mortality (%) at different periods (P) Mean							
Treatments	(T)		Mortali	ty (%) a	t differ	ent peric	ods (P)		Mean	Ν	Iortalit	y (%) at di	fferent j	periods	(P) 1	Mean		
Treatments	(1)	24 h		48 h		72 h	9	6 h	Mean	2	24 h	48 h	72 h	ı 90	5 h			
Chlorpyrifos		100.00	0	100.00		100.00	10	100.00) 10	00.00	100.00	100.0	0 10	0.00	00.00		
		90.00)	90.00		90.00	90.00		90.00	9	0.00	0.00 90.00		90.00 90.00		90.00		
Endosulfan		60.00)	63.33		83.33	90	0.00	74.17	7	0.00	76.67	96.6	7 100	0.00	85.83		
		50.86 52.80			66.20	71	.60	60.36	5	7.03	61.27	83.8	7 90	.00	73.04			
Monocrotophos	nos 100.00 100.00			100.00	10	0.00	100.00) 10	00.00	100.00	100.0	0 10).00 1	00.00				
		90.00)	90.00		90.00	90	0.00	90.00	9	0.00	90.00	90.0	0 90	.00	90.00		
Malathion		100.00	0	100.00		100.00	10	0.00	100.00) 10	00.00	100.00	100.0	0 10).00 1	00.00		
		90.00)	90.00		90.00	90	0.00	90.00	9	0.00	90.00	90.0	0 90	.00	90.00		
Dichlorvos		100.00	0	100.00		100.00	10	0.00	100.00) 10	00.00	100.00	100.0	0 10	0.00 1	00.00		
		90.00)	90.00		90.00	90	0.00	90.00	9	0.00	90.00	90.0	0 90	.00	90.00		
Alphamethrin		100.00	0	100.00		100.00	10	0.00	100.00) 10	00.00	100.00	100.0	0 10).00 1	00.00		
		90.00)	90.00		90.00	90	0.00	90.00	9	0.00	90.00	90.0	0 90	.00	90.00		
Control		0.00		0.00		0.00	0	.00	0.00	(0.00	0.00	0.00	0.	00	0.00		
		0.00		0.00		0.00	0	.00	0.00	(0.00	0.00	0.00	0.	00	0.00		
Mean		79.05	i	80.48		83.33	84	.29		8	81.43 82.38		85.24	85.24 85				
		71.55	i	71.83		73.74	74	.51		7	72.43 73.04		76.2	76.27 77				
	0		D. at 5	D. at 5% S.E (d)		S.E (m)±					C.D. at 5%	5 S.E (0	S.E (d) S.E (
Treatments	(T)			1.28		0.64	0	.45				2.00	1.00	0.	71			
Period (P)				0.97 0.48		0.48	0.34					1.52	0.76	0 .	53			
$T \times P$				2.56		1.28	0	.90				4.01	2.00) 1.	42			
[Figures in sec	ond row	for eac	ch treatn	nent & r	nean ar	e arc sin	e transfo	ormed v	alues]									
Tab	ole 6 —	Stomac	h toxici	ty of ins	ecticide	sprayed	l mealył	ougs to .	N. regul	aris,	S. cocc	<i>ivora</i> and I	H. main	droni ac	lults			
				N. reg	gularis							S. coc	civora					
Treatments		Morta	lity (%)	at diffe	rent Per	iod (P)		Mean		Mor	tality ('	%) at diffe	rent Per	iod (P)		Mean		
(T)	1 h	2 h	3 h	4 h	5 h	6 h	24 h		1 h	2 h	31	n 4 h	5 h	6 h	24 h			
Chlorpyrifos	0.00	13.33	30.00	60.00	80.00	96.67	100.00	54.29	0.00	16.6	7 33.3	63.33	83.33	100.00	100.00	56.66		
	0.00	17.22	33.02	50.88	63.96	83.90	90.05	48.43	0.00	23.8	7 35.2	24 52.80	66.18	90.05	90.05	51.16		
Endosulfan	0.00	20.00	53.33	76.67	86.67	100.00	100.00	62.38	0.00	26.6	7 56.0	67 83.33	93.33	100.00	100.00	65.71		
	0.00	26.58	46.94	61.25	68.89	90.05	90.05	54.82	0.00	31.0	1 48.8	66.18	77.75	90.05	90.05	57.70		
Mono-	60.00	90.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	92.86	63.33	93.3	3 100.	00 100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	93.81		
crotophos	50.88	71.60	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	81.82	52.80	77.7	5 90.0	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	82.97		
Malathion	13.33	30.00	36.67	63.33	83.33	100.00	100.00	60.95	16.67	33.3	3 43.3	33 70.00	86.66	100.00	100.00	64.28		
	21.15	33.02	37.24	52.89	66.18	90.05	90.05	55.80	23.87	35.0	3 41.1	56.82	68.89	90.05	90.05	57.98		
															(Contd.)		

Table 5 — Stomach toxicity of insecticides incorporated honey to C. septempunctata and C. sexmaculata adults

C. septempunctata

Table 6	— Stom	ach tox	icity of		-	yed mea	lybugs	to N. re	gularis,	S. cocci	i <i>vora</i> an			adults	(Contd	.)
				0	gularis							S. coc				
Treatments			lity (%)					Mean			• • •		rent Per			Mean
(T)	1 h	2 h	3 h	4 h	5 h	6 h	24 h		1 h	2 h	3 h	4 h	5 h	6 h	24 h	
Dichlorvos			100.00				100.00			100.00						
	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05
Alpha-	60.00	96.67	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	93.81	66.67	96.67		100.00		100.00	100.00	94.76
methrin	50.88	83.90	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	83.58	54.81	83.90	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	84.13
Control	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Mean	33.33	50.00	60.00	71.43	78.57	85.24	85.71		35.23	52.38	61.90	73.81	80.47	85.71	85.71	
	30.42	46.05	55.34	62.17	67.03	76.31	77.19		31.64	48.80	56.49	63.70	68.99	77.18	77.18	
		C.D. at	S.E (d)	S.E						C.D. at 5%	S E (4)	S.E				
		5%	5.E (u)	(m)±						5%	S.E (u)	(m)±				
Treatments	s (T)	2.62	1.32	0.93						2.12	1.07	0.76				
Period (1	P)	2.62	1.32	0.93						2.12	1.07	0.76				
$\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{P}$		6.92	3.49	2.47						5.62	2.83	2.00				
		Morta	lity (%)		indroni rent Per	ind (P)										
	1 h	2 h	3 h	4 h	5 h	6 h	24 h	Mean								
Chlorpyrifos	0.00	3.33	13.33	30.00	50.00	70.00	100.00	38.09								
Chiorpyrhos	0.00	5.55 6.15	21.15	33.02	45.02	57.03	90.05	36.09								
Endosulfan	0.00	6.67	30.00	50.00	73.33	90.00	100.00	50.00								
Endosunan	0.00	12.29	33.02	45.02	73.33 59.04	90.00 71.60	90.05	44.43								
Mono-	36.67	60.00	80.00	45.02 96.66	100.00		100.00	81.91								
crotophos	37.24	50.79	63.96	83.90	90.05	90.05	90.05	72.29								
Malathion	3.33	10.00	20.00	40.00	90.03 66.67	80.00	100.00	45.71								
Walaunon	5.55 6.15	18.44	26.58	40.00 39.17	54.81	63.96	90.05	42.74								
Dichlorvos	100.00		20.38													
Dicition vos	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05								
Almha	43.33	90.03 70.00	90.03 90.00	100.00			90.05 100.00	90.05 86.19								
Alpha-		57.03														
methrin	41.17		75.04	90.05	90.05	90.05	90.05	76.21								
Control	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
м	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
Mean	26.19	35.71	47.62	59.52	70.00	77.14	85.71									
	24.95	33.54	44.26	54.46	61.29	66.11	77.19	20.00								
	0.00	3.33	13.33	30.00	50.00	70.00	100.00	38.09								
		C.D. at	S.E (d)	S.E												
т. с. с.				()												
Treatments		2.88	1.45	1.03												
Period (I	P)	2.88	1.45	1.03												
$T \times P$		7.62	3.84	2.71												
Figures in sec	ond row	for eac	ch treatn	nent & 1	nean ar	e arc sin	e transf	ormed v	alues]							

Result related to the stomach toxicity of insecticides treated mealybugs against the predators revealed that insecticides namely chlorpyrifos and endosulfan were safer while dichlorvos, most toxic. Present studies indicate the mean mortality range from 38.09 to 56.66% for chlorpyrifos against the *N. regularis, S. coccivora* and *H. maindroni*. It was found on par with earlier studies which reported the effects of six insecticides on the adults of the coccinellids, *Adalia bipunctata, C. septempunctata* and *Oenopia conglobata* in fruit orchards; it revealed that chlorpyrifos caused 40.2 and 63% mortality in apples and peach, respectively⁴³.

Conclusion

The insecticides *viz.* chlorpyrifos and dichlorvos were highly toxic to mealybugs as well as to the majority of coccinellid and chrysopid predators. Among the insecticide studied, chlorpyrifos was found safer to *C. montrouzieri* and semi-selective to *N. regularis*, *S. coccivora* and *H. maindroni*. Chlorpyrifos being also a fumigant in action probably was found selective and safer to *Cryptolaemus* and warrants further investigations. It may be noted that release of *C. montrouzieri* coupled with chlorpyrifos is likely to be a better option for the management of mealybugs infesting several agri-horticultural crops.

Acknowledgement

The financial support from ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, Pusa, New Delhi, India is gratefully acknowledged.

Conflict of interest

Authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Wu H, Zhang Y, Liu P, Xie J, He Y, Deng C, Patrick De Clercq & Hong P, Effects of Transgenic Cry1Ac + CpTI Cotton on Non-Target Mealybug Pest *Ferrisia virgata* and its Predator *Cryptolaemus montrouzieri*. *PLoS ONE*, 9 (2014) e95537.
- 2 Laneesha M, Suroshe SS, Fand BB & Shankarganesh K, The papaya mealybug, *Paracoccus marginatus* William and Granara De Willink (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae): A new threat to agri-horticulture ecosystem. *Indian J Agric Sci*, 90 (2020) 455.
- 3 Varshney RK, A review of Indian Coccids (Homoptera: Coccoides). Ori Ins, 19 (1985) 1.
- 4 Suresh S & Kavitha PC, *New records of coccoids in India*, (International Symposium on Scale Insect Studies, Oeiras, Portugal), 2007.
- 5 Thomas A & Ramamurthy VV, On the problems in the diagnostics of cotton mealybug, *Phenacoccus solenopsis* Tinsley (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae). *Entomon*, 33 (2008) 277.
- 6 Williams DJ & Granara de Willink MC, *Mealybugs of Central and South America*. (CAB International, London, UK), 1992, 644.
- 7 Mark PC & Gullan PJ, A new pest of tomato and other records of mealybugs (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) from Espirito Santo, Brazil. *Zootaxa*, 964 (2005) 1.
- 8 Hodgson CJ, Abbas G, Arif MJ, Saeed S & Karar H, *Phenacoccus solenopsis* Tinsley (Sternorrhyncha: Coccoidea: Pseudococcidae) a new invasive species attacking cotton in Pakistan and India with a discussion on seasonal morphological variation. *Zootaxa*, 1913 (2008) 1.
- 9 Wu SA & Zhang RZ, A new invasive pest, *Phenacoccus* solenopsis threatening seriously to cotton production. *Chinese Bull Entomol*, 46 (2009) 159.
- 10 Akintola AJ & Ande AT, First record of *Phenacoccus* solenopsis Tinsley (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) on *Hibiscus* rosa-sinensis in Nigeria. Agric J, 3 (2008) 1.
- 11 Charleston K, Addison S, Miles M & Maas S, The Solenopsis mealybug outbreak in Emerald. *Aust Cottongrower*, 31 (2010) 18.
- 12 Vennila S, Ramamurthy VV, Deshmukh A, Pinjarkar DB, Agarwal M, Pagar PC, Prasad YG, Prabhakar M, Kranthi KR & Bambawale OM, A treatise on mealybugs of Central Indian cotton production system, (Technical Bulletin No. 24, National Centre for Integrated Pest Management, New Delhi), 2010, 39.
- 13 Sreedevi G, Prasad YG, Prabhakar M, Rao GR, Vennila S & Venkateswarlu B, Bioclimatic Thresholds, Thermal Constants and Survival of Mealybug, *Phenacoccus solenopsis* (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) in Response to Constant Temperatures on Hibiscus. *PLoS ONE* 8 (2013) e75636.

- 14 Fand BB, Suroshe SS & Gautam RD, Fortuitous biological control of insect pests and weeds: a critical review. *Bioscan* 8 (2013) 1.
- 15 Fand BB, Tonnang HEZ, Kumar M, Bal SK, Singh NP, Rao DVKN, Kamble AL, Nangare DD & Minhas PS, Predicting the impact of climate change on regional and seasonal abundance of the mealybug *Phenacoccus solenopsis* Tinsley (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) using temperaturedriven phenology model linked to GIS. *Ecol Model*, 288 (2014a) 62.
- 16 Fand BB, Kumar M & Kamble AL, Predicting the potential geographic distribution of cotton mealybug *Phenacoccus solenopsis* (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) in India based on MAXENT ecological niche Model. *J Environ Biol*, 35 (2014b) 973.
- 17 Fand BB & Suroshe SS, The invasive mealybug *Phenacoccus solenopsis* Tinsley, a threat to tropical and subtropical agricultural and horticultural production systems. *Crop Prot*, 69 (2015) 34.
- 18 Suroshe SS, Gautam RD & Fand BB, Biology of mealybug, *Phenacoccus solenopsis* Tinsley on parthenium, *Indian J Entomol*, 78 (2016) 264.
- 19 Sequeira RV, Khan M & Reid DJ, Chemical control of the mealybug *Phenacoccus solenopsis* (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) in Australian cotton: glasshouse assessments of insecticide efficacy. *Aust Entomol*, 59 (2020) 375.
- 20 Fand BB, Gautam RD & Suroshe SS, Comparative biology of four coccinellid predators of solenopsis mealybug, *Phenacoccus solenopsis* Tinsley (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae). *J Biol Control*, 24 (2010a) 35.
- 21 Fand BB, Gautam RD & Suroshe SS, Effect of developmental stage and density of *Phenacoccus solenopsis* Tinsley (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) on the predatory performance of four coccinellid predators. *J Biol Control*, 24 (2010b) 110.
- 22 Suroshe SS, Gautam RD & Fand BB, Natural enemy complex associated with the mealybug, *Phenacoccus solenopsis* Tinsley (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) infesting different host plants in India. *J Biol Control*, 27 (2013) 204.
- 23 Suroshe SS, Gautam RD, Chander S & Fand BB, Population dynamics of cotton mealybug *Phenacoccus solenopsis* and its natural enemies, *Indian J Entomol*, 81 (2019) 630.
- 24 Masood E & Sarfraz AS. Spirotetramat Resistance Selected in the *Phenacoccus solenopsis* (Homoptera: Pseudococcidae): Cross-Resistance Patterns, Stability, and Fitness Costs Analysis, *J Ecol Entomol*, 110 (2017) 1226.
- 25 Hayat B, Farman U, Paul A, Calatayud HU & Bashir A, Can toxicants used against cotton mealybug Phenacoccus solenopsis be compatible with an encyrtid parasitoid Aenasius bambawalei under laboratory conditions? *Environ Sci Poll Res*, 24 (2017) 5857.
- 26 Nagrare VS, Fand BB, Naik VCB, Naikwadi BV, DeshmukhV & Sinha D, Resistance development in Cotton mealybug, *Phenacoccus solenopsis* Tinsley (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) to insecticides from Organophosphate, Thiadiazines and Thiourea derivatives. *Int J Trop Insectt Sci*, 40 (2020) 181.
- 27 Fand BB, Satpute NS, Dadmal SM, Bag RP & Sarode SV, Effect of some newer insecticides and biopesticides on parasitization and survival of *Trichogramma chilonis* Ishii. *Indian J Entomol*, 71 (2009) 105.

- 28 Suroshe SS, Gautam RD & Fand BB, Safety of insecticides against *Aenasius bambawalei* Hayat (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae). *Indian J Entomol*, 76 (2014) 224.
- 29 Morishita M, Susceptibility of the mealybug, *Planococcus kraunhiae* (Kuwana) (Thysanoptera: Thripidae), to insecticides evaluated by the petri dish-spraying tower method. *Japanese J Appl Entomol Zool*, 50 (2006) 211.
- 30 Dhawan AK, Sarika S, Kamaldeep S & Bharathi M, Toxicity of some new insecticides against *Phenacoccus solenopsis* (Tinsley) [Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae] on cotton. *J Insect Sci*, 21 (2008) 103.
- 31 Muhammad I, Masood E, Naeem A, Sarfraz AS, Muhammad B & Shahzad A, Resistance risk assessment to chlorpyrifos and cross-resistance to other insecticides in a field strain of *Phenacoccus solenopsis* Tinsley. *Crop Prot*, 94 (2017) 38.
- 32 Suresh SR, Jothimani P, Sivasubramanian P, Karuppuchamy R, Samiyappan & Jonathan EI, Invasive mealybugs of Tamil Nadu and their management. *Karnataka J Agric Sci*, 23 (2010) 6.
- 33 Kulkarni SP, Evaluation of insecticides against maize stem borers and their phytotoxicity to maize, MSc Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, 2014
- 34 Matheus RM, Odimar ZZ, Gabriel RR & Pedro TY, Impact of five insecticides used to control citrus pests on the parasitoid *Ageniaspis citricola* Longvinovskaya (Hymenoptera: Encyrtidae). *Ecotoxicology*, 25 (2016) 1011.
- 35 Makar PV & Jadhav LD, Toxicity of some insecticides to the aphid predator, *Menochilus sexmaculatus* Fab. *Indian J Entomol*, 43 (1981) 140.

- 36 Chaudhary SK & Ghosh MR, Influence of some modern insecticides on the incidence of *Coccinella transversalis* Fab. a predator of *Lipaphis erysimi* Kalt. *Sci Cult* 48 (1982) 214.
- 37 Babu PCS, Toxicity of insecticides to the aphid, *Aphis craccivora* Koch and its coccinellid predator, *Menochilus sexmaculatus* F. on cowpea and hyacinth bean. *Madras Agriic J*, 75 (1988) 409.
- 38 Sharma RP, Yadav RP & Singh R, Relative efficacy of some insecticides against the field population of bean aphid (*Aphis craccivora* Koch.) and safety to the associated aphidophagous coccinellid complex occurring on *Lathyrus*, lentil and chickpea crops. *J Entomol Res*, 15 (1991) 251.
- 39 Sonkar UB & Desai BD, Bioefficacy of some insecticides against *Lipaphis erysimi* Kalt. on mustard and their toxicity to ladybird beetle. *Shashpa*, 5 (1998) 233.
- 40 Ramesh BT & KM Azam, Residual toxicity of different insecticides to the adult *Cryptolaemus montrouzieri* Mulsant (Coccinellidae: Coleoptera). *Int J Pest Man*, 33 (1987) 180.
- 41 Amal IA, Said AEA, Angel RA & Asmaa EMAA, Biological Control of Citrus Mealybug, *Planococcus citri* (Risso.) using Coccinellid Predator, *Cryptolaemus montrouzieri* Muls. *Pak J Biol Sci*, 13 (2010) 216.
- 42 Abida N, Ghulam M & Muhammad A, Selectivity of some insecticides to *Chrysoperla carnea* (Stephen) (Neuroptera: chrysopidae) in laboratory. *Pak J Biol Sci*, 6 (2003) 536.
- 43 Pasqualini E & Civolani S, Studies on side effects of some insecticides on aphid-feeding Coccinellidae in Emilia-Romagna fruit crops. *Bull OILB/SROP*, 26 (2003) 51.