

Indian Journal of Experimental Biology Vol. 59, November 2021, pp. 761-769



Ethylene induced stay-green gene expression regulates drought stress in wheat

Pranjali Atul Gedam¹, Krishna GK¹, R Shiv Ramakrishnan², Radheshyam Sharma²*, AK Chaturvedi³, VP Singh⁴ & Ajay Arora⁴

¹ICAR-Directorate of Onion and Garlic Research, Pune-410 505, Maharashtra, India
²College of Agriculture, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidhalya, Jabalpur-482 004, Madhya Pradesh, India
³Centre for Water Resources Development and Management, Kozhikode-673 571, Kerala, India
⁴Division of Plant Physiology, Indian Agricultural Research Institute (IARI), New Delhi-110 012, India

Received 22 June 2020; Revised 19 October 2021

Stay-green is an integrated drought adaptation trait characterized by a green leaf phenotype during grain filling under terminal drought. Ethylene is the key hormone for regulating the leaf senescence pathway under natural and stress conditions. The present study was conducted to assess the associative function of ethylene in regulating chlorophyll degrading enzymes *viz.*, chlorophyllase (*TaCHLase*) and pheophorbide a oxygenase (*TaPaO*) in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under drought stress. Three wheat genotypes (HW 4059, HW 4022 and HW 2078) differing in drought tolerance efficiency were subjected to drought stress for ten days at the reproductive stage. A decline in stay-green traits was found in susceptible genotypes (HW 4059) with yield losses compared to tolerant ones (HW 4022 and HW 2078). The expression level of *TaCHLase1* and *TaPaO* was higher in susceptible genotypes than tolerant ones under drought/osmotic stress. Ethylene upregulated, while ethylene inhibitors downregulated the gene expression. In this study, a novel gene annotated as TaCHLase1 was cloned. The complete cDNA sequence of TaCHLase1 is composed of 1054 bp nucleotides containing an open reading frame of 960 bp encoding 319 amino acids. The encoded protein contained conserved residues such as lipase motif GXSXGG at position 143-148 and putative active site Ser145. Sequence alignment showed TaCHLase1 shares a higher degree of identity with other species. The result suggested that ethylene upregulates the expression of *TaCHLase1* gene, inducing chlorophyll degradation. The study further helps in understanding the mechanism of stay-green trait-induced drought tolerance mechanism in wheat.

Keywords: Abiotic stress, cDNA, Cloning, TaPaO, TaCHLase1, Triticum aestivum L, Water deficit stress

Impacts from climate related extremes in the recent vears make our planet earth limited for natural resources particularly, water and nutrients¹. Water scarcity threatens the crop production in many part of the world, because agriculture alone consumes about two-thirds of the total amount of fresh water available on earth. Drought a serious abiotic stress, which greatly affects the growth and development of economically important crops. As per the report of FAO, over 1.5 billion people relying on agriculture as the main source of income, drought is putting the livelihood of many at risk, often halting and reversing gains in food security and poverty reduction. Overall drought-driven annual yield loss is estimated between 3.4-26.6% in different crops². Plants use various morphological, anatomical and physiological mechanisms to cope with environmental stress. Some plant species exhibit stress tolerance,

*Correspondence: E-Mail: radhebiotech88@gmail.com while others employ escape or avoidance strategies involving highly plastic adaptation and acclimation mechanisms, in which plant hormones are key factor³.

Wheat is one of the important cereal crops that feed about one-fifth of the world's population⁴. Moreover, the most important constraints for agriculture are declining water resources with frequent drought episode is nowadays becoming the major challenge in wheat production worldwide^{5,6}. Drought stress affects wheat performance throughout the growth stages but most critically during anthesis and grain filling stage which results in tremendous yield losses⁷. In order to mitigate the drought stress in wheat, there is a need to develop an improved knowledge of the various plant mechanisms and tolerant genotypes with improved traits and high water use efficiency⁸. In wheat, cultivar with stay-green trait and delayed leaf senescence is an important drought adaptive strategy as photosynthesis is the most sensitive process to drought stress^{9,10}. In plants, leaf senescence is a highly

regulated and developmental process occurred during crop maturation or under the influence of various abiotic stresses. If drought occurs during reproductive stage, leaf senescence rate accelerates resulting in substantial yield reduction¹¹. Chlorophyll degradation is one of the earliest symptoms of leaf senescence resulting in subsequent loss of leaf greenness and photosynthesis activity¹². Chlorophyll degradation pathway have been resolved by several researchers involving a multistep pathway involving dephytilation reaction of chlorophyll molecules into specific chlorophyllide followed by removal of the central magnesium ion by Mg-dechelatase¹³⁻¹⁵. During leaf senescence process levels of many plant hormones are altered. Phytohormones like ethylene, jasmonic acid, abscisic acid and salicylic acid promote leaf senescence, whereas cytokinin, auxin, gibberellic acid, nitric oxide, and polyamines suppress the process¹⁶. Among them ethylene is the key hormone for regulating leaf senescence by accelerating the chlorophyll degradation pathway under natural as well as in stress condition¹⁷. It has been reported that during drought stress leaf senescence rate is triggered for maintaining water status and nutrient balance in plant but under severe condition it leads to tremendous reduction in photosynthesis activity and vield¹⁸.

Thus, delayed leaf senescence rate has become a desirable trait for drought stress and the knowledge of chlorophyll degradation pathway is particularly important for developing stay-green wheat genotype. In the present study, we hypothesized that drought induced chlorophyllase gene may be regulated by ethylene in wheat crop. Similarly, cloning and expression of genes encoding chlorophyll degrading enzymes *viz.*, chlorophyllase (*TaCHLase1*) and pheophorbide a oxygenase (*TaPaO*) in the presence of ethylene inducer, help us to understand the mechanisms regulating the process of drought tolerance in wheat.

Materials and Methods

Plant materials and treatments

Pot experiments were conducted with three wheat genotypes differing in their drought tolerance efficiency *viz.*, HW 4022 (drought tolerant), HW 2078 (relatively drought tolerant) and HW 4059 (drought susceptible). These genotypes were grown with recommended package of practices and subjected to water deficit stress at 50% anthesis stage by

withholding irrigation for 10 days. Scheduled routine of irrigation was practiced for control plants throughout the crop growth period. For biochemical and molecular analysis, flag leaf sampling was done from both control Relative Water Content (RWC) (RWC; 80-85%) and stressed (RWC; 65-75%) plants. For In vitro analysis, seeds of the above mentioned genotypes were surface sterilized and then germinated in Petri dishes. After 48 h of incubation, uniformly germinated seeds were selected and raised in 50 mL test tubes containing half-strength Hoagland solution. The hydroponically raised seedlings were grown for 10 days in growth chamber at 25°C day/20°C night, light intensity 1200 µmolm⁻²s⁻¹ and 90% relative humidity. Osmotic stress was imposed to 10 days old seedlings using 20% polyethylene glycol (PEG 6000) solution giving osmotic potential of -4.91 MPa in presence of ethylene inducer (Ethrel; 10 ppm), ethylene biosynthesis inhibitor (Aminoethoxy vinylglycine; AVG, 2 ppm) and ethylene signalling inhibitor (1-methylcyclopropene; 1 MCP, 10 ppm) for 6 h whereas, double distilled water served as control.

Photosynthesis traits

Chlorophyll and carotenoid content were estimated by extracting 0.5 g fresh leaf sample in 10 mL dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)¹⁹. Chlorophyll content was calculated as per Arnon²⁰, while carotenoid content was determined using the formula given by Lichtenthaler & Welburn²¹. The values were expressed in mg/g dry wt. of the sample.

Total chlorophyll content (mg gDW^{-1}) =

 $[20.2 \times A645 + 8.02 \times A663] \times (Vol. of extract/Dry wt.) \times 1000$ Total carotenoid content (mg gDW⁻¹) =

[A470+(0.114×A663)-(0.638-A645)]×(Vol. of extract/Dry wt.)×1000

Gas exchange parameters like photosynthesis, transpiration and stomatal conductance were measured using portable Infrared Gas Analyzer (IRGA), LI-6400XT Model (Li-COR Ltd., Lincoln, Nebraska, USA) by operating the IRGA in the closed mode between 10.00-11.00 a.m. when relative humidity, temperature, photosynthetic photon flux density and CO₂ conc. ranged from 50-60%, 30 to 35° C, 120° C µmol m⁻²s⁻¹ and 350 to 360 µmol mol⁻¹, respectively. Fifteen flag leaves per treatment were selected at random for measurement.

Yield and associated parameters

Measurements on 1000 seed weight, grain yield plant⁻¹ were recorded in control and water deficit stressed plants as economic yield. The whole plant dry weight was measured as biological yield. Three

replications were taken for each parameter. Drought Tolerance Efficiency (DTE) percent was calculated using the formula given by Fischer and Wood (1981)²² and harvest index (HI; %) was calculated as the ratio of the economic yield to biological yield and was expressed in percentage.

$$DTE (\%) = \frac{\text{(Yield under water deficit stress)}}{\text{(Yield under irrigated condition)}} \times 100$$
$$HI (\%) = \frac{\text{(Economic yield)}}{\text{(Biological yield)}} \times 100$$

RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis

In order to determine the semiquantitative gene expression analysis of chlorophyll degrading genes (TaCHLasel and TaPAO); the total RNA was extracted from the flag leaf after 10 days of water deficit stress in pot culture and leaves from 10 days old seedlings after above mentioned treatment combinations. Isolation of total RNA was carried out by TRIzol® reagent (Invitrogen, USA). By running appropriate amount of formamide denaturing gel RNA quality and integrity were resolute while quantity of total RNA was determined using a NanoDropTM 1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). The first-strand cDNA was synthesized according to the instructions of the cDNA Synthesis Superscript® III First- Strand Synthesis System (Invitrogen, USA). Resulting cDNA was stored at -20°C and employed as template for twostep RT-PCR reactions following recommended conditions provided in user's manual.

Primer designing

Reported nucleotide sequence of pheophorbide a oxygenase (PaO) gene from National Centre for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database viz. Accession No. JN689384 was selected for designing gene specific primers for semi-quantitative gene expression analysis (RT-PCR) in wheat. Search for sequence in NCBI nucleotide database for chlorophyllase (CHLase1) gene in wheat failed to retrieve any results. Hence we selected the protein sequence of CHLase1 from Arabidopsis (NCBI Accession No. NP_564094) and performed TBLASTn analysis with nucleotide sequence database of NCBI in wheat. We obtained a cDNA sequence

from wheat (GenBank accession No. BT009214) having 95% coverage and 48% identity with Arabidopsis CHLase1. The complete open reading frame (ORF) coverage was confirmed by ORF finder tool of NCBI. The deduced amino acid sequence using ExPASy translate tool of the putative CHLase1 gene showed significant homology with rice, Arabidopsis and Brachypodium protein sequences by BLASTp analysis. This putative sequence of TaCHLase1 was used for designing gene specific primers for semi-quantitative expression analysis (RT-PCR) and cloning in wheat. The gene specific primers were designed manually and the quality parameters were confirmed using Integrated DNA Technologies (IDT) Oligo analyzer tool (https:// eu.idtdna.com/analyzer/Applications/OligoAnalyzer/). The primers used for semiquantitative RT-PCR analysis and cloning are listed in Table 1. Every RT-PCR measurement was performed at least thrice. Expression of TaActin was used as an internal standard for normalization.

Cloning and sequencing of the TaCHLase1 cDNA

PCR amplification with gene specific primers and proofreading enzyme Platinum Hi-fidelity Tag DNA polymerase (Invitrogen, USA) gave approximately 1 kb fragment that was cloned in TA cloning vector pTZ57R/T (Thermo Scientific, USA). The construct was transformed into E. coli strain XL1-Blue confirmed by blue-white screening in a and media containing IPTG (Isopropyl β-D-1thiogalactopyranoside), and X-gal kanamycin. Positive clones were sub cultured in medium having same composition and further confirmed by colony PCR, restriction digestion and sequencing.

In silico analysis of TaCHLase1 gene sequence

BLAST online software (http://www.ncbi.nlm.gov/ blast) was used to analyze the cDNA and protein sequences. Prediction of putative subcellular localization was carried out using the WoLF PSORT, SMART program and TargetP 1.1. Its isoelectric point (pI) and molecular weight (MW) were analyzed by ExPASy ProtParam tool. The multiple sequence alignment of *TaCHLase1* and its homologs from other species was carried by ClustalW2 tool of BioEdit

Table 1 — Primers sequence with GC%, TM and Product size of expression analysis of TaPaO and CHLase1 genes					
Gene	Primer	Primer sequence	% GC	TM	Product size (Bp)
Pheophorbide a oxidase	PAO_F	ACCGGTAATCCTCGTATCACTG	50.0	56	360
(TaPaO)	PAO_R	AGTGAGCTTTGTGTACTGCTG	47.6		
Chlorophyllase	CASE_F	ATCTGGACATGCTGGACGAC	55.0	55.1	300
(CHLase1)	CASE_R	GCTCAGAGGTACAGTACATCTG	50.0		

software and represented using BoxShade server of ExPASy. The phylogenetic tree was constructed with the neighbor joining clustering method using MEGA 6.06 software with 500 bootstrap replicates for ClustalW alignment and the evolutionary distances were estimated using Poisson correction model.

Statistical analysis

Statistical results are expressed as means with standard error (S.E.). Significance difference (at P < 0.05) between control and stressed samples were determined by Duncan's multiple range tests at a significance level of 0.05 for all biochemical parameters and was evaluated by analysis of variance (ANOVA). ANOVA and critical difference value were calculated using SPSS 10.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), OPSTAT (hau.ernet.in/opstat.html) and Microsoft Excel.

Results and Discussion

Photosynthesis is one of the most drought sensitive physiological process while, chloroplast injury is commonly the first sign of abiotic stress in plants²³. Drought stress damages the chloroplast thylakoid membrane, disturbing its functions and ultimately decreasing the rate of assimilation and crop yield⁸. Changes in photosynthetic pigments like chlorophyll and carotenoids contents provide further insight into the modification processes taking place in the photosynthetic apparatus during drought stress²⁴. Thus, drought-induced disorganization of chloroplast

structure and changes in photosynthetic pigments *viz.*, chlorophyll and carotenoid content is considered to be a good indicator of plant water status under drought stress²⁵.

Water deficit stress and gas exchange characteristics

Water deficit stress induced reduction in the gas exchange parameters differ significantly amongst the genotypes as well as between the treatments (Fig. 1). Reduction in photosynthesis rate, transpiration rate and stomatal conductance was more in susceptible non stay-green genotype (HW 4059) as compared stay-green tolerant genotypes (HW 4022 and HW 2078). In addition, susceptible genotype recorded the maximum reduction in chlorophyll and carotenoid pigments while, it was comparatively higher in tolerant genotypes under water deficit stress (Fig. 1 A & B). Many researchers reported water stress reduced the stay-green traits like transpiration, stomatal conductance and chlorophyll in wheat^{26,27}, sorghum²⁸, chickpea²⁹, pearl millet³⁰ and maize³¹.

Water deficit stress and yield attributes

Water deficit stress showed significant decline in 1000 seed weight and harvest index in all the three genotypes (HW 4022, HW 2078 and HW 4059) (Fig. 2 A & B). Decline in terms of 1000 seed weight was more in susceptible genotype (HW 4059) as compared to tolerant ones (HW 4022 and HW 2078) under water deficit stress (Fig. 2A). Similarly, HW 4022 maintained highest drought tolerance efficiency



Fig. 1 — Stay-green trait indicators (A) Total chlorophyll content; (B) Carotenoid content; (C) Photosynthesis rate; (D) Stomatal conductance; and (E) Transpiration rate in three wheat genotypes *viz.*, (HW 4022; drought tolerant, HW 2078; relatively drought tolerant and HW 4059; drought susceptible) subjected to water deficit stress by withholding irrigation for 10 days at anthesis stage. [Error bars indicate \pm Standard Error of mean (n=3). The same letters above the columns indicate that the values are not statistically different (*P* <0.05). DW, Dry weight; and CO₂, Carbon dioxide]



Fig. 2 — Yield traits attribute (A) 1000 seed weight; (B) Harvest Index; and (C) Drought tolerance efficiency in three wheat genotypes *viz.*, (HW 4022; drought tolerant, HW 2078; relatively drought tolerant and HW 4059; drought susceptible) subjected to water deficit stress by withholding irrigation for 10 days at anthesis stage. [Error bars indicates \pm Standard Error of mean (n=3). The same letters above the columns indicate that the values are not statistically different (*P* <0.05). DTE, Drought tolerance efficiency; and HI, Harvest Index]

(DTE; %) followed by HW 2078 while, HW 4059 found to have lowest DTE% value (Fig. 2C). Results also showed that increase in yield traits in tolerant genotypes is closely associated with higher photosynthesis rate and stomatal conductance under drought stress (Figs 1C, D & Fig. 2C) Statistical analysis revealed highly significant and positive correlation between grain yield, harvest index and drought tolerance efficiency when compared with photosynthesis rate in the studied genotypes and proved that positive correlation exist between stavgreen traits and yield in wheat under drought stress. Earlier findings reported in crop like maize³² and rice³³ suggesting that, delayed leaf senescence rate by maintaining the photosynthesis characteristics can be positively correlated with higher grain yield when stress occurred at drought sensitive stage in plants.

Expression Profiles of *TaCHLase1* and *TaPaO* under osmotic/ water deficit stress

The amount of chlorophyll content directly determines the photosynthetic potential of crop plants. Studies on transcript level of *TaPaO* and *TaCHLase1* genes using gene specific primers resulted in 360 and 300 bp amplicon size (Suppl. Plates 1 & 3. All supplementary data are available only online along with the respective paper at NOPR repository at http://nopr.res.in), respectively and further confirmed by sequencing (Table 1) in all the three wheat genotypes in presence of various chemical regulators (ethylene inducers/ inhibitors) (Fig. 3 A & B). Significant differences were observed in gene



Fig. 3 — Expression analysis of (A) TaPaO and (B) TaCHLasel gene encoding enzyme involved in chlorophyll degrading pathway in 10 days old wheat seedlings of genotypes HW 4022 (drought tolerant), HW 2078 (relatively drought tolerant) and HW 4059 (drought susceptible). Different panels showing relative mRNA expression (IDV) under various treatment combinations of (A) TaPaO; and (B) TaCHLase gene expression. Control and osmotic stress (20% PEG 6000, 4.91MPa) conditions; Ethylene inducer (Ethrel, 10 ppm) under control and osmotic stress; Ethylene biosynthesis inhibitor (AVG, 2 ppm) under control and osmotic stress; Ethylene action inhibitor (1 MCP, 10 ppm) under control and osmotic stress; Ethylene action inhibitor (1-MCP, 10 ppm) under control osomtic stress. [Each value represents the mean $(\pm SE)$ with three replicates each. PaO, Pheophorbide a Oxygenase; PEG, Polyethylene Gylcol; AVG, Aminoethoxy Vinyl Glycine; 1 MCP, 1 Methyl Cyclopropene; and CHLase, Chlorophyllase]

expression level of TaPaO and TaCHLase1 under control (RWC; 80-90%) and osmotic stress (RWC; 70-80%) in 10 days old leaf sample. Amongst the three genotypes studied, susceptible genotype (HW 4059) showed higher expression level of both chlorophyll degrading genes TaPaO and TaCHLase1 followed by relatively drought tolerant genotype (HW 2078), while lowest expression was observed in case of tolerant genotype (HW 4022) under both water regimes. Ethrel application (ethylene inducer) slightly induced the expression level of TaPaO under osmotic stress in HW 4059 and HW 2078 but the genotype HW 4022 maintained the lower expression level, while in case of TaCHLase1 gene, HW 2078 showed higher transcript level under both control and osmotic stress. In presence of AVG (Ethylene biosynthesis inhibitor, Aminoethoxy vinylgylcine) and 1-MCP (Ethylene signalling inhibitor, 1-Methylcyclopropene) the transcript of TaPaO and TaCHLase1 decreased significantly under both osmotic regimes while, in case of HW 2078 the expression of TaPaO was comparatively high under control and osmotic stress condition. In pot culture condition, after imposing drought stress for 10 days at reproductive stage the expression level of TaPaO drastically reduced in HW 4022 and HW 2078 but in HW 4059 the expression level is high under both water regimes (Suppl. Plate 2 & Fig. 4A). In case of *TaCHLase1* expression level was maintained to be high in HW 4059 and HW 2078, while tolerant genotype HW 4022 showed comparatively reduced expression level of TaCHLasel under irrigated as well as water deficit stress condition (Suppl. Plate 4 & Fig. 4B). Similar to above finding, earlier studies have shown the over-expressing of OsPaO gene in rice with enhanced leaf senescence rate and cell death ^{34,35}. Treatment of ethylene perception inhibitor (1-MCP) resulted in higher level of chlorophyll content which can be positively correlated with lower expression of chlorophyll degrading enzymes in tomato, citrus and cabbage³⁶⁻³⁸.

Cloning and In silico characterization of TaCHLase1 gene from wheat

The molecular mechanism governing the function of TaPaO gene in wheat³⁹and perennial ryegrass⁴⁰ is already known, but little information is available on the molecular characteristics of TaCHLase1 gene in wheat. Here, we identified the novel and putative chlorophyllase gene from hexaploid wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) and annotated as *TaCHLase1* owing to its homology with *AtCLH1*.



Fig. 4 — Expression analysis of *TaPaO* and *TaCHLase1* gene encoding enzyme involved in chlorophyll degrading pathway in flag leaf of wheat genotypes HW 4022 (drought tolerant), HW 2078 (relatively drought tolerant) and HW 4059 (drought susceptible) under control (normal irrigation) and water deficit stress condition in wheat. (A) Relative mRNA expression of *TaPaO gene*; and (B) Relative mRNA expression of *TaCHLase1* gene. [Each value represents the mean (\pm SE) with three replicates each. PaO, Pheophorbide a Oxygenase; and CHLase, Chlorophyllase]

A full length cDNA encoding a polypeptide with sequence similarity to Arabidopsis chlorophyllase (AtCHLase1) was amplified by PCR of cDNA using gene specific primers and cloned from drought susceptible non-stay-green wheat genotype HW 4059. Through the bioinformatical analysis, obtained cDNA sequence contained an open-reading frame for a 319 amino acid polypeptide with a calculated molecular mass of 33.84 kDa and isoelectric point of 5.71. The multiple protein sequence alignment (ClustalW2) with Arabidopsis and Brachypodium showed considerable homology between the sequences by 45% and 81% with Arabidopsis and Brachypodium CHLase1 genes, respectively. Multiple sequence alignment also showed conserved residues such as lipase motif (GXSXGG) i.e., GHSRGG of wheat at amino acid position 143-148 and the putative active site serine (Ser145) (Fig. 5). Cloning of TaCHLase1 from wheat is a significant breakthrough in studying the staygreen trait phenotype in crop plants. Multiple sequence alignment showed that CHLase is widely distributed in plant kingdom.

The phylogeny tree has been constructed (MEGA 6.06 software) using Neighbor joining method for

	TaHW4059CHLase1 AtCHLase1 AtCHLase2 OsCHLase BdChlase	1
	TaHW4059CHLase1 AtCHLase1 AtCHLase2 OsCHLase BdChlase	34 VEALQVDENARETETIEVLIVAEKDAGTYEVAMILLIGERUHNHEYEHLIRHVASHGET 26 TTETEVV EVENDSTAPPKEVRUTCETVAGTYEVVI FFHGEYURNYEYSDVINHIASHGY 34 SSRRSESPERQIUVATEVEEDYEVVMILLIGILLYNSEYSQUULHVSSHGET 61 AAKKTDDDTAPAGGAPEKELIVAEKDAGTYEVAMILLIGERUQNTYEVSULFUNASHGET 34 VEVTQVDHNAVETEPIEVLIVAEKDAGTYEVAMILLIGERUQNTYEVSULFUNASHGET
	TaHW4059CHLase1 AtCHLase1 AtCHLase2 OsCHLase BdChlase	92 IVARCFSISIIESCALDIAARAKVADWIPCC-IPSVLEKCVERISKIAI GHRGCH 86 IVARQICK-IPRGQVEVDDAGSVINAASIN-IKAHLETSVNANGKYTS <mark>I GHRGGKT</mark> 86 IVARQIYS-IAGEDTMDEIKSTEENDWISVE-INHFLEAQVTENLSKFAI GHRGGKT 121 VVCPQIYT-ISGEDTTDEINSRAAVINTIAAGITEKLERDVRATAAKIST GHRGGKV 92 MVARCFHLSMITTCDTKITEAAKVSDWIFCC-IPSVLEKGVERIJSKIAI GHRGGH
	TaHW4059CHLase1 AtCHLase1 AtCHLase2 OsCHLase BdChlase	151 AFSLALGHAKTQLTFSALIGLDFVAGTGHSSZLQEKILTYEFSEGMAMEW 144 AFAVALGHAATLDPSTTFSALIGIDFVAGTMÄYIR DEHILTYKFESFEL-DIEW 144 AFAVALKKFGYSSNKIZTLIGIDFVIGTGKGKQIPFFVLATLENSFDL-DKTFI 180 AFALALGHANVSLRGGAGGATIAALVAVDEVIGFAAGKOTPFPILTYGGANSLR-VPAEW 151 AFSLALGHAKSNLSFSALIGIDFVAGTGKSSZLAEKILTYEFSFNMSAAMEW
	TaHW4059CHLase1 AtCHLase1 AtCHLase2 OsCHLase BdChlase	202 LVIGTGLGEBEKKN-IFFPPCALKDVNHABFYRECREBCYYLYTKDYGHLDMLDDDAEKF 198 AVVGTGLG-FKMN-NMPPCALTDLNHBEFYRECKTKAHFVAADYGHMOMLDDLLPGF 198 LVIGGGLGETARN-PIFPPCALPGVNHREFFRECGEAWHFVAKDYGHLDMLDDLTKEIR 239 MVIGTGLGGLARAAPILEACALPGVSHGEFFGECAHACFLVARDYGHTDMDDVTPEAR 204 LVIGTGLGBEKKN-IFTFPCALKDVNHREFFLECKTFGYFVTKDYGHLDMLDDLAFMV
	TaHW4059CHLase1 AtCHLase1 AtCHLase2 OsCHLase BdChlase	261TCVCRDENGCKGKMRECVACIMVAFLNAALGEKEADLEAFLRDEAVABTTLDE 256 SFMAGCMCRNSCRKKSE <mark>NRSFVGGIVVAFLKYSIMEEKADIRIIVKDESVSE</mark> AKLDE 257 GKSSYCLCKNSEE-RRPMRRFVGGLVVSELKAYLECHERELIKIKDGCHEDVEVEIQE 299 SLATRAVCRSGA-RAPMRRFGGAMVAFVRWVESEPELLICVRARETAEVVESA 263TCLCRDGSSCKDKMRRCVAGIMVAFINSALGEKINAAHDLEVIVKDHALAETTLDE
	TaHW4059CHLase1 AtCHLase1 AtCHLase2 OsCHLase BdChlase	314 VEHRVA 313 SPELEBASGIFV- 314 FEVIM 355 VEREDEAIANHSY 319 VECEDE
		TaCHLase1_AHJ14565 like dicots and mon available CHLase p Bd_xP_003576870 available CHLase p Zm_D AA60721 were classified in mainly included Pp Mt_xP_003520408 SmCHLase (Selag) AtCHLase2_NP_199199 SmCHLase (Selag) Cs_kD 074483 (Oryza sativa), p
1	I I	

Os NP 001064620

Sm_XP_002963743

Pp_XP_001753563

Hv_BAJ89583

Fig. 5 — Alignment of *TaCHLase1* and other homologous CHLase proteins using ClustalW2 and represented by Box Shade. Dark shaded regions show amino acid residues conserved in all five sequences and grey shaded regions represent similar residues. Conserved lipase motifs are indicated by yellow shade, while catalytically active serine is highlighted in red colour. The posttranscriptionally removed motif at the N and C- terminus are highlighted in green colour. [Sequences used in addition to TaCHLase1 are: Arabidopsis thaliana (AtChlase1, NCBI GenBank accession no. NP_564094); Arabidopsis thaliana (AtChlase2, NCBI GenBank accession no. NP_199199); Oryza sativa (OsChlase, NCBI GenBank accession no. NP_001064620); and Brachypodium distachyon NCBI GenBank (BdChlase, accession no. XP_003576870)]

monocots. The result showed that the se proteins from various plant species into two groups. The first group d PpCHLase (Physcomitrella patens), elaginella mollendorfii), OsCHLase), HvCHLase (Hordeum vulgare), *CsCHLase* (Citrus sinensis) and AtCHLase2 (Arabidopsis thaliana), while the second group included *MtCHLase* (Medicago truncatula), GmCHLase (Glycine max), ZmCHLase (Zea mays), BdCHLase (Brachypodium distachyon), AtCHLase1 (Arabidopsis thaliana) and TaCHLase1 (Triticum aestivum). Interestingly, the phylogeny tree showed higher identity between TaCHLase1 and AtCHLase1 (45%) than with OsCHLase (40%). Consensus with the previous reports⁴¹⁻⁴³, the current study on comparative analysis of protein sequences revealed significant homology between wheat and other higher plants.

Conclusion

Results of the above study conclude that stay-green is an integrated drought adaptation trait in crops and ethylene is involved in regulating chlorophyll

Fig. 6 - Phylogenetic tree constructed by neighbor-joining method of MEGA 6.06 with 500 bootstrap replicates of ClustalW alignment. Evolutionary distances were estimated using poisson correction model. Phylogenetic tree of CHLase proteins from different plant species along with their accession numbers are provided. [Sequences used: Ta, Triticum aestivum; At, Arabidopsis thaliana; Os, Oryza sativa; Bd, Brachypodium distachyon; Hv, Hordeum vulgare; Sm, Selaginella moellendorffii; Zm, Zea mays; Gm, Glycine max; Mt, Medicago truncatula; Ct, Citrus sinesis; and Pp, Physcomitrella patens]

TaCHLase1 using the amino acid sequence from the selected plant species (http://www.phytozome.net/), which gave light into the evolution of the TaCHLase1 (Fig. 6). The evolution of chlorophyllase can be dated back from the fern (Selaginella mollendorfii) and bryophyte (Physcomitrella patens) to higher plants

degrading enzymes under drought stress. Molecular mechanism of chlorophyll degrading enzymes chlorophyllase and pheophorbide a oxygenase during drought stress provide us with the strong sign that chlorophyllase gene might be the important gene regulating chlorophyll catabolic pathway and its expression is directly or indirectly regulated by certain environmental clues like drought stress and plant growth regulators. Overall, the ethylene regulates the chlorophyll catabolic gene TaCHLase at transcriptional level during drought induced leaf senescence. The sequence information of TaCHLase1 deserves further investigation by reverse genetic approaches and functional validation recognizing chlorophyllase gene as a candidate gene for developing stay-green drought tolerant wheat variety.

Conflict of Interest

Authors declare no competing interests.

References

- 1 IPCC, Climate Change and Land, A special report on climate change, desertification, land degradation, sustainable land management, food security, and greenhouse gas fluxes in terrestrial ecosystems [(Eds.) Shukla PR, Skea J, Buendia EC, Masson-Delmotte V, Portner HO, Roberts DC, Zhai P, Slade R, Connors S, Van RD, Ferrat M, Haughey E, Luz S, Neogi S, Pathak M, Petzold J, Portugal PJ, Vyas P, Huntley E, Kissick K, Belkacemi M & Malley J; Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK), 2019. https://www.ipcc.ch/srccl/ chapter/summary-for-policymakers/.
- 2 Bras TA, Seixas J, Carvalhais N & Jagermeyr J, Severity of drought and heatwave crop losses tripled over the last five decades in Europe. *Environ Res Lett*, 16 (2021) 065012.
- 3 Jia W, Ma M, Chen J &Wu S, Plant morphological, physiological and anatomical adaption to flooding stress and the underlying molecular mechanisms. *Int J Mol Sci*, 22 (2021) 3.
- 4 FAOSTAT, FAOSTAT production statistics, [Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), Rome], 2021.
- 5 Daryanto S, Wang L & Jacinthe PA, Global synthesis of drought effects on maize and wheat production. *PLoS ONE*, 11 (2016) 5.
- 6 Grote U, Fasse A, Nguyen TT & Erenstein O, Food security and the dynamics of wheat and maize value chains in Africa and Asia. *Front Sustain Food Syst*, 4 (2021) 617009.
- 7 Chowdhury MK, Hasan MA, Bahadur MM, Islam MR, Hakim MA, Iqbal MA, Javed T, Raza A, Shabbir R & Sorour S, Norhan EME, Anwar S, Alamri S, sabagh AEL & Islam MS, Evaluation of drought tolerance of some wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes through phenology, growth, and physiological indices. *Agronomy*, 11 (2021) 1792.
- 8 Hao P, Zhu J, Gu A, Lv D, Ge P, Chen G, Li X & Yan Y, An integrative proteome analysis of different seedling organs in tolerant and sensitive wheat cultivars under drought stress and recovery. *Proteomics*, 15 (2015) 1544.

- 9 Abdelrahman M, El-Sayed M, Jogaiah S, Burritt DJ & Tran LP, The "STAY-GREEN" trait and phytohormone signaling networks in plants under heat stress. *Plant Cell Rep*, 36 (2017) 1009.
- 10 Kamal NM, Alnor Gorafi YS, Abdelrahman M, Abdellatef E, Tsujimoto H, Stay-Green Trait: A Prospective Approach for Yield Potential, and Drought and Heat Stress Adaptation in Globally Important Cereals. *Int J Mol Sci*, 20 (2019) 5837.
- 11 Senapati N, Stratonovitch P, Paul MJ & Semenov MA, Drought tolerance during reproductive development is important for increasing wheat yield potential under climate change in Europe. *J Exp Bot*, 70 (2019) 2549.
- 12 Hortensteiner S & Krautler B, Chlorophyll breakdown in higher plants. *Biochim Biophys Acta*, 1807 (2011) 977.
- 13 Christ B & Hortensteiner S, Mechanism and significance of chlorophyll breakdown. *J Plant Growth Regul*, 33 (2014) 4.
- 14 Hortensteiner S, Update on the biochemistry of chlorophyll breakdown. *Plant Mol Biol*, 82 (2013) 505.
- 15 Sakuraba Y, Schelbert S, Park SY, Han SH, Lee BD, Andres CB, Kessler F, Hortensteiner S & Paek NC, Staygreen and chlorophyll catabolic enzymes interact at light harvesting complex II for chlorophyll detoxification during leaf senescence in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell*, 24 (2012) 507.
- 16 Jibran R, Hunter DA & Dijkwel PP, Hormonal regulation of leaf senescence through integration of developmental and stress signals. *Plant Mol Biol*, 82 (2013) 547.
- 17 Huberman M, Riov J, Goldschmidt EE, Apelbaum A & Goren R, The novel ethylene antagonist, 3-cyclopropyl-1enyl-propanoic acid sodium salt (CPAS), increases grain yield in wheat by delaying leaf senescence. *Plant Growth Regul*, 73 (2014) 249.
- 18 Nir S, Maria del Mar RW, Kamolchanok U & Eduardo B, Stress-induced senescence and plant tolerance to abiotic stress, *J Exp Bot*, 69 (2018) 845.
- 19 Hiscox JD & Israelstam GF, A method for the extraction of chlorophyll from leaf tissue without maceration. *Can J Bot*, 57 (1979) 1332.
- 20 Arnon DI, Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplasts: polyphenoloxidase in *Beta vulgaris*. *Plant Physiol*, 24 (1949) 1.
- 21 Lichtenthaler HK & Wellburn AR, Determinations of total carotenoids and chlorophylls a and b of leaf extracts in different solvents. *Biochem Soc Trans*, 11 (1983) 591.
- 22 Fischer KS & Wood G, Breeding and selection for drought tolerance in tropical maize. In: *Proceeding Symposium on principles and methods in crop improvement for drought resistance with emphasis on rice*, (IRRI, Philippines, 1-3 February, 1981).
- 23 Abid M, Ali S & Qi LK, Zahoor R, Tian Z, Jiang D, Snider JL & Dai Tingbo, Physiological and biochemical changes during drought and recovery periods at tillering and jointing stages in wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). Sci Rep, 8 (2018) 4615.
- 24 Zhang X, Wang X, Zhong J, Zhou Q, Wang X, Cai J, Dai T, Cao W & Jiang D, Drought priming induces thermotolerance to post-anthesis high-temperature in offspring of winter wheat. *Environ Exper Bot*, 127 (2016) 26.
- 25 Zhang FJ, Zhang KK, Du CZ, Li J, Xing YX, Yang LT & Li YR, Effect of drought stress on anatomical structure and chloroplast ultrastructure in leaves of sugarcane. *Sugar Tech*, 17 (2015) 41.

- 26 Guendouz A, Hafsi M, Khebbat Z, Moumeni L & Achiri A, Evaluation of grain yield, 1000 kernels weight and chlorophyll content as indicators for drought tolerance in durum wheat (*Triticum durum Desf.*). *Adv Agric Biol*, 1 (2014) 89.
- 27 Chen X, Min D, Yasir TA & Hu Y, Evaluation of 14 morphological, yield-related and physiological traits as indicators of drought tolerance in Chinese winter bread wheat revealed by analysis of the membership function value of drought tolerance (MFVD). *Field Crops Res*, 137 (2012) 195.
- 28 Gholipoor M, Prasad PVV, Mutava RN, Sinclair TR, Genetic variability of transpiration response to vapor pressure deficit among sorghum genotypes. *Field Crops Res*, 119 (2010) 85.
- 29 Zaman AM, Jenkinson DM & Vadez V, Chickpea genotypes contrasting for seed yield under terminal drought stress in the field differ for traits related to control of water use. *Funct Plant Biol*, 38 (2011) 270.
- 30 Kholova J, Hash CT, Kumar PL, Yadav RS, Kocova M & Vadez V, Terminal drought-tolerant pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* (L) R. Br. have high leaf ABA and limits transpiration at high vapour pressure deficit. J Exp Bot, 61 (2010) 1431.
- 31 Messina CD, Sinclair TR, Hammer GL, Curan D, Thompson J, Oler Z, Gho C & Cooper M, Limited transpiration trait may increase maize drought tolerance in the US Corn Belt. *Agron J*, 107 (2015) 1978.
- 32 Messmer R, Fracheboud Y, Bamziger M, Stamp P & Ribaut JM, Drought stress and tropical maize: QTLs for leaf greenness, plant senescence, and root capacitance. *Field Crops Res*, 124 (2011) 93.
- 33 Fu JD, Yan YF, Kim MY & Lee BW, Population specific quantitative trait loci mapping for functional stay-green trait in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Genome* 54 (2011) 235.
- 34 Tang YY, Li MR, Chen YP, Wu PZ, Wu GJ & Jiang HW, Knockdown of OsPAO and OsRCCR1 cause

different plant death phenotypes in rice. *J Plant Physiol*, 168 (2011) 1952.

- 35 Mohammed AR, Cothren JT, Chen MH & Tarpley L, 1-Methylcyclopropene (1-MCP) induced alteration in leaf photosynthetic rate, chlorophyll fluorescence, respiration and membrane damage in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under high night temperature. *J Agron Crop Sci*, 201 (2015) 105.
- 36 Lira BS, Setta ND, Rosadoa D, Almeida J, Freschi L & Rossi M, Plant degreening: evolution and expression of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) dephytylation enzymes. *Gene*, 546 (2014) 359.
- 37 Peng G, Xie X, Jiang Q, Song S & Xu C, Chlorophyll a/b binding protein plays a key role in natural and ethyleneinduced degreening of Ponkan (*Citrus reticulata* Blanco). *Sci Hort*, 160 (2013) 37.
- 38 Zhang X, Zhang Z, Li J, Wu L, Guo J, Ouyang L, Xia Y, Huang X & Pang X, Correlation of leaf senescence and gene expression/activities of chlorophyll degradation enzymes in harvested Chinese flowering cabbage (*Brassica rapa var. parachinensis*). J Plant Physiol, 17 (2011) 2081.
- 39 Ma N, Ma X, Li A, Cao X & Kong L, Cloning and expression analysis of wheat pheophorbide a oxygenase gene TaPaO. *Plant Mol Biol Rep*, 30 (2012) 1237.
- 40 Das A, Christ B & Hortensteiner S, Characterization of the pheophorbide a oxygenase/phyllobilin pathway of chlorophyll breakdown in grasses. *Planta*, 248 (2018) 875.
- 41 Tsuchiya T, Suzuki T, Yamada T, Shimada H, Masuda T, Ohta H & Takamiya K, Chlorophyllase as a serine hydrolase: identification of a putative catalytic triad. *Plant Cell Physiol*, 44 (2003) 96.
- 42 Arkus KAJ, Cahoon EB & Jez JM, Mechanistic analysis of wheat chlorophyllase. *Arch Biochem Biophys*, 438 (2005) 146.
- 43 Okazawa A, Tang L, Itoh Y, Fukusaki E & Kobayashi A, Characterization and subcellular localization of chlorophyllase from *Ginkgo biloba*. Z Naturforsch C, 61 (2006) 111.